

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 15-003808
Issue No.: 2004
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: April 30, 2015
County: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 30, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. [REDACTED] testified and appeared as Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) included [REDACTED], specialist, and [REDACTED], manager.

ISSUE

The issue is whether DHHS properly failed to process Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED], Claimant's AHR mailed DHHS Claimant's application requesting MA benefits, including retroactive MA benefits from December 2013.
2. DHHS did not register or process Claimant's application.
3. On [REDACTED], Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to compel DHHS to process Claimant's application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. DHHS (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k. DHHS policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to dispute a failure by DHHS to process Claimant's MA application. DHHS initially contended that Claimant's application was properly not processed because the application was never submitted.

Claimant's AHR alleged that Claimant's application was sent to DHHS on [REDACTED], [REDACTED] DHHS testified that Claimant's AHR forwarded a copy of Claimant's MA application before the hearing. As a result of seeing Claimant's application, DHHS testimony conceded that Claimant's AHR submitted Claimant's MA application to DHHS on [REDACTED]. Based on the DHHS concession, it is found that Claimant submitted an MA application to DHHS on [REDACTED].

An application or filing form, with the minimum information, must be registered on Bridges unless the client is already active for that program(s). BAM 110 (January 2013), p. 6. The standard of promptness for processing MA applications when disability is an eligibility factor is 90 days. BAM 115 (January 2013), p. 13. The timeframe when disability is not a factor is 45 days. *Id.*

It is not known if Claimant's MA eligibility was based on a claim of disability. It is known that DHHS failed to comply with their standards of promptness no matter what Claimant's basis was for MA eligibility.

It is found that DHHS failed to register and comply with MA application processing standards of promptness. DHHS will be ordered to register and process Claimant's MA application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHHS improperly failed to register and process Claimant's MA application. It is ordered that DHHS perform the following actions:

- (1) register Claimant's MA application dated [REDACTED], including Claimant's request for retroactive MA benefits; and
- (2) initiate processing of Claimant's application.

The actions taken by DHHS are **REVERSED**.



Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **5/7/2015**

Date Mailed: **5/7/2015**

CG / hw

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

