

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████,

Appellant.

Docket No. 15-002919 HHS

Case No. ██████████

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████. Appellant did not appear. ██████████, provider, appeared as Appellant's Hearing Representative.

██████████, Appeals Review Officer, represented the Department of Community Health. ██████████, Adult Services Worker (ASW), and ██████████, Adult Services Supervisor, (ASS), appeared as witnesses for the Department.

**ISSUE**

Does Appellant have a right to an administrative hearing regarding a request to increase Home Help Services (HHS) hours without having a face-to-face assessment?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Appellant is a ██████ year-old male beneficiary of the welfare Medicaid and SSI programs. Appellant has been diagnosed with depression, bipolar, schizophrenia, HTN, and osteoarthritis. (Exhibit A.9).
2. On ██████████ the Department issued a DHS-1210 notice approving Appellant HHS with a case opening effective date of ██████████ in the amount of ██████████ per month. (Exhibit A.11).
3. On ██████████ Appellant signed and subsequently filed a Request for an Administrative Hearing requesting additional hours. (Exhibit A.4). MAHS failed to date stamp the request; an intake computer entry in Appellant's docket states "AT Received ██████████."

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Initially at the administrative hearing, there was some discussion as to whether Appellant's hearing request was timely. The Social Security Act and the federal regulations which implement the Social Security Act require an opportunity for fair hearing to any recipient who believes the Department may have taken an action erroneously. See *42 CFR 431.200 et seq.* The opportunity to fair hearing is limited by a requirement that the request be made within 90 days of the negative action. The regulations provide, in pertinent part:

Request for hearing.

(d) The agency must allow the applicant or recipient a reasonable time, not to exceed 90 days from the date that notice of action is mailed, to request a hearing. *42 CFR 431.221(d)*.

The Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA) General Rules applicable to the Department regarding requests for hearing and timeliness state in pertinent part:

...(4) A claimant shall be provided 90 days from the mailing of the notice ...to request a hearing. MAC R 400.904(4).

Additionally, ASM 150 indicates that applicable hearing procedures for adult services cases are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM 600, Hearings). ASM 150, 2 of 5. BAM 600 states in part:

The client or authorized hearing representative has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. BAM 600, p 5 of 44.

As noted in the Findings of Fact, MAHS failed to date stamp Appellant's hearing request. As noted, the 90 day window is measured from the date received by the state, not the date signed. Here the facts indicate that Appellant signed the request on ██████████, and the intake file shows a date of ██████████. The notice of case action was ██████████. In either case, Appellant's hearing request is timely and jurisdiction proper.

Regarding Appellant's request for hearing, Appellant stated that he was not disputing the initial case opening hours, but rather, was requesting an increase in hours since the initial or last assessment. Evidently, Appellant did not request the DHS increase his hours but instead filed a hearing request.

**Docket No. 15-002919 HHS**  
**Decision and Order**

The purview of an administrative law judge (ALJ) is to review the Department's action and to make a determination if those actions are in compliance with Department policy, and not contrary to law. The ALJ must base the hearing decision focusing on the time of the assessment. However here, there is nothing for the ALJ to review as the Department has not acted. The Department pointed out that in fact, in order for any increase in HHS hours, there must be a face-to-face reassessment:

A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized. Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, 12-1-2013, Page 1 of 7.

Under these facts and circumstances, there is no jurisdiction for the undersigned Administrative Law Judge to proceed with a substantive review as there is no action here to review. If Appellant requests an increase, and if and when the Department issues a notice of disposition on that request, then a right to an administrative hearing will ensure. As this case stands, there is no right to a review and thus, Appellant's hearing request must be dismissed. 42 CFR 431.221(d).

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that

The above-titled matter is **DISMISSED**.



Janice Spodarek

Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Michigan Department of Health and Human  
Services

JS 

cc: 

Date Signed: 

Date Mailed: 

**Docket No. 15-002919 HHS**  
**Decision and Order**

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant March appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.