

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 15-001874
Issue No.: 4009
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: March 05, 2015
County: Berrien

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on Thursday, March 5, 2015, from Benton Harbor, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his uncle and authorized representative, [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Hearing Facilitator.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On December 15, 2014, the Claimant applied for SDA.
2. On January 30, 2015, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the Claimant's application for SDA is denied per BEM 261 because the nature and severity of the Claimant's impairment's would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days and he had a non-exertional impairment.
3. On February 4, 2015, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that his application was denied.
4. On February 10, 2015, the Department received a hearing request from the Claimant, contesting the Department's negative action.
5. During the hearing on March 5, 2015, the Claimant requested permission to submit additional medical information that needed to be reviewed. Additional medical information was received on April 2, 2015 and the record was closed.

6. The Claimant is a 20 year-old man whose date of birth is [REDACTED]. The Claimant is 5' 6" tall and weighs 145 pounds. The Claimant completed the 9th grade of High School. The Claimant can read and write and do basic math. The Claimant has no pertinent work history.
7. The Claimant's alleged impairments are a psychotic disorder and mood disorder.
8. He was approved for Social Security SSI benefits effective with a disability onset date of March 31, 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability. PEM, Item 260; PEM, Item 261.

Based on this application filed on December 15, 2014, the Claimant is eligible for SDA based on his application filed on December 15, 2014.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under SDA based on the Claimant's December 15, 2014 application.

Accordingly, if it has not already done so, the Department is ORDERED to open an ongoing SDA case for the Claimant based on the December 15, 2014 application.



Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human
Services

Date Signed: **5/1/2015**

Date Mailed: **5/1/2015**

CGF/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

