

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 15-001823  
Issue No.: MEDICAID - ELIGIBILITY  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: March 24, 2015  
County: KENT-DISTRICT 1 (FRANKLIN)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Colleen Lack

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 24, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED], the Claimant, and [REDACTED], husband. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Family Independence Manager, and [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly determine eligibility for the Medicare Savings Program (MSP) for Claimant and her spouse?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant and her spouse had ongoing MSP benefits, including MSP benefits for December 2014.
2. On January 16, 2015, a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice was issued to Claimant stating Claimant and her spouse were approved for MSP benefits effective January 1, 2015, but denied for December 2014 because the month tested was in a previous year.
3. On January 30, 2015, Claimant filed a hearing request contesting the Department's actions.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Claimant explained that the paperwork she receives says one thing, but the reality is different. Claimant testified money has still been taken from their checks despite the supposed approval for MSP benefits. Further, Claimant and her husband have not received reimbursement for all the months money was taken from their checks despite the supposed ongoing approval for MSP benefits.

The Eligibility Specialist testified that the Department's computer system shows that MSP benefits have been ongoing for Claimant and her husband, including an approval for December 2014. Accordingly, it was uncontested that the portion of the January 16, 2015, a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice (Notice) stating MSP benefits were denied for December 2014 was an error. Therefore, the Department's determination must be reversed because the Notice incorrectly stated that MSP benefits were denied for December 2014.

The Family Independence Manager further indicated he would assist with looking into the issues related to the money being taken from the checks and reimbursement for only some months, such as contacting the Department's buy in unit. Ultimately, the eligibility determination showing in the Department's computer system has been an ongoing approval for the MSP for Claimant and her spouse. Therefore, there is no need to order the Department to re-determine MSP eligibility for any months besides December 2014.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it determined eligibility for the MSP for Claimant and her spouse.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. If not already done, re-determine eligibility for the MSP for Claimant and her spouse for December 2014, and issue written notice of the Determination in accordance with Department policy.

2. Supplement for lost benefits (if any) that Claimant was entitled to receive, if otherwise eligible and qualified in accordance with Department policy.



---

Colleen Lack  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Interim Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **4/13/2015**

Date Mailed: **4/13/2015**

CL/hj

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

CC:

