STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: 15-017337 Issue No.: 3001

Agency Case No.: Hearing Date:

November 10, 2015

County: INGHAM

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 10, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included herself, her Social Security Administration payee and Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included Hearing Facilitator

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's August 26, 2015 Food Assistance Program (FAP) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On April 22, 2015, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHHS-1605) which stated her Food Assistance Program (FAP) was closed beginning June 1, 2015.
- On August 26, 2015, Claimant submitted an application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. Claimant's benefit group consists of herself and her three minor children. All four group member receive Social Security Administration benefit.
- 3. On August 27, 2015, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHHS-1605) which stated her application was denied due to excess income.

- 4. On September 15, 2015, Claimant submitted a hearing request and identified S. Clark as an authorized hearing representative.
- 5. On August 28, 2015, Claimant submitted updated shelter expense verification.
- 6. On September 29, 2015, S. Clark submitted a letter to the Department.
- 7. On September 29, 2015, the Department updated Claimant's shelter expense and reran her Food Assistance Program (FAP) financial eligibility budget. Claimant was sent another Notice of Case Action (DHHS-1605) which stated her August 26, 2015 application was denied due to excess income.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

During this hearing Claimant sought to have the closure of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) on June 1, 2015 reviewed. Claimant asserted she had never been sent notice of that closure. The Department submitted the April 22, 2015 Notice of Case Action (DHHS-1605) which was sent to Claimant's address of record. In accordance with Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 600 Hearings, there is no jurisdiction to review the action noticed on April 22, 2015 because this request was not received within 90 calendar days of the written notice.

With regard to denial of the August 26, 2015 application, Claimant asserts that the children's Social Security benefits should not be used in determining her Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility because the funds go to S. Clark their Social Security Administration payee, not to Claimant.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 503 Income, Unearned identifies both Retirement Survivor Disability Insurance (RSDI) and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) as

unearned income. The policy goes on to provide that the gross amount of both, are counted for determining Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 Income Overview (7-1-2015) provides the following:

DEFINITIONS

All Programs

Income

Income means a benefit or payment received by an individual which is measured in money. It includes money an individual owns even if **not** paid directly such as income paid to a representative.

Countable Income

Income remaining after applying the policy in the income related items is called **countable**. This is the amount used to determine eligibility and benefit levels. Count all income that is **not** specifically excluded.

PAYMENT TO REPRESENTATIVE

All Programs

Income paid to an individual acting as a representative for another individual is **not** the representative's income. The income is the other individual's income. Common representatives include:

Legal guardians; see Bridges Policy Glossary (BPG).

Court-appointed conservators.

Minor children's parents.

Representative payees.

Example: Diane's RSDI check is sent to her representative payee. It is Diane's income.

THIRD PARTY ASSISTANCE

Payment of an individual's bills by a third party directly to the supplier using the third party's money is **not** income to the individual.

If the third party is paying the bill instead of paying money due the individual such as money owed for child support or owed on a loan, the payment is the individual's unearned income.

<u>Claimant</u> and	testified that the cl	hildren's Social Securi	ity benefits a	re paid to
, , ,		for items the children		
Security benefits. The	ne policy example f	or payments to a rep	oresentative	cited above
clearly direct that the	funds paid to	are the children's in	come.	
	_	_		
	•	t the policy section o		
shows that the mone	y paid on behalf of	the children should no	ot be counte	d as income
for the children. The	children's Social	Security benefits paid	l to	are not
's income. There	efore when	pays for items for the	children, she	is not using
her own money. The	policy cited above	directs that regardle	ss of who pa	ays with the
children's Social Sec	urity benefits, the pa	yment is the children's	s income.	

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) financial eligibility budget was checked and found to be correct. The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's August 26, 2015 Food Assistance Program (FAP) application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Gary Heisler

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: 11/17/2015

Date Mailed: 11/17/2015

GFH/

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

