# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: 15-015741 Issue No.: 4009

Agency Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 28, 2015

County: Gratiot

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 28, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by the Petitioner and his girlfriend, Assisted Payments Supervisor.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the medical review of State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit programs?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On June 23, 2014, the Claimant was approved for continued SDA through medical review by the Medical Review Team (MRT) with medical review due on June 2015. Department Exhibit 67-68.
- 2. On August 21, 2015, the MRT denied the Claimant's medical review for SDA stating that the Claimant had medical improvement.
- 3. On August 27, 2015, the Department received a hearing request from the Claimant, contesting the Department's negative action.
- 4. On September 9, 2015, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that he was denied for SDA because he had had medical improvement.
- 5. The Claimant is a 39 year-old man whose date of birth is Claimant is 5' 9" tall and weighs 339 pounds. The Claimant has a High School

diploma. The Claimant can read and write and can do basic math. He was special education in all of his college preparatory. The Claimant was last employed as a dishwasher in 2003.

6. The Claimant's alleged impairments are vertigo, chronic depression, suicidal thoughts, social phobia, autism, sleep apnea, insomnia, and high functioning Asperger's syndrome.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Department's Program Eligibility Manual provides the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program.

#### **DISABILITY - SDA**

#### **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

#### SDA

To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older.

**Note:** There is <u>no</u> disability requirement for AMP. PEM 261, p. 1.

#### **DISABILITY**

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.

is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

#### Other Benefits or Services

Persons receiving one of the following benefits or services meet the SDA disability criteria:

- . Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Supplemental Security Income (SSI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Medicaid (including spend-down) as blind or disabled if the disability/blindness is based on:
  - .. a DE/MRT/SRT determination, or
  - .. a hearing decision, or
  - .. having SSI based on blindness or disability recently terminated (within the past 12 months) for financial reasons.

Medicaid received by former SSI recipients based policies PEM 150 under "SSI in on TERMINATIONS," INCLUDING "MA While Appealing Disability Termination," does not qualify a person as disabled for SDA. persons must be certified as disabled or meet one of the other SDA qualifying criteria. See "Medical Certification of Disability" below.

- Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS). A person is receiving services if he has been determined eligible for MRS and has an active MRS case. Do not refer or advise applicants to apply for MRS for the purpose of qualifying for SDA.
- Special education services from the local intermediate school district. To qualify, the person may be:

- attending school under a special education plan approved by the local Individual Educational Planning Committee (IEPC); or
- not attending under an IEPC approved plan but has been certified as a special education student and is attending a school program leading to a high school diploma or its equivalent, and is under age 26. The program does not have to be designated as "special education" as long as the person has been certified as a special education student. Eligibility on this basis continues until the person completes the high school program or reaches age 26, whichever is earlier.
- Refugee or asylee who lost eligibility for Social Security Income (SSI) due to exceeding the maximum time limit PEM, Item 261, pp. 1-2.

# "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental

ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled.

We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) Signs are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by

medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.

(c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

In general, Claimant has the responsibility to prove that he/she is disabled. Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

Once an individual has been determined to be "disabled" for purposes of disability benefits, continued entitlement to benefits must be periodically reviewed. In evaluating whether an individual's disability continues, 20 CFR 416.994 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activities, severity of impairment(s), and the possibility of medical improvement and its relationship to the individual's ability to work are assessed. Review may cease and benefits may be

continued at any point if there is substantial evidence to find that the individual is unable to engage in substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5).

## Step 1

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(i). In this case, the Claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since 2003. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

#### Step 2

In the second step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record will not support a finding that Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, Claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2.

# Step 3

In the third step of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact must determine whether there has been medical improvement as defined in 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(i). 20 CFR 416.994 (b)(5)(iii). Medical improvement is defined as any decrease in the medical severity of the impairment(s) which was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision that the Claimant was disabled or continues to be disabled. A determination that there has been a decrease in medical severity must be based on changes (improvement) in the symptoms, signs, and/or laboratory findings associated with Claimant's impairment(s). If there has been medical improvement as shown by a decrease in medical severity, the trier of fact must proceed to Step 4 (which examines whether the medical improvement is related to the Claimant's ability to do work). If there has been no decrease in medical severity and thus no medical improvement, the trier of fact moves to Step 5 in the sequential evaluation process.

On the control of the Claimant's treating psychiatrist submitted a letter on his behalf. He was diagnosed with Asperger's Syndrome, inadequate social skills, post-traumatic stress disorder, chronic, major depressive disorder, recurrent, chronic, generalized anxiety disorder, and psychogenic loss of appetite. His hygiene was very good. He appears to have a good relationship with his significant other. There was no evidence of a severe thought disorder or risk factors. Claimant Exhibit a.

On the Claimant underwent an independent psychiatric examination at the Was diagnosed with adjustment disorder with anxiety and depressed mood. The Claimant is likely to understand, retain, and follow simple instructions. He can be expected to adjust to reasonable changes in his environment. He did report difficulty managing work related stress. His prognosis was guarded. The Claimant would benefit from continued participation in mental health services provided through the local community mental health center is likely to enhance his overall prognosis. He stated that he felt hopeless. The Claimant reported occasional suicidal ideation and sleep problems. There was no evidence of a severe thought disorder. Department Exhibit G 14-17.

On the Claimant underwent an independent physical examination at . He had back pain. The Claimant had mild difficulty completing orthopedic maneuvers, but this appeared to be due to his body habitus. He does walk with a wide based gait, but does not require the use of an assistive device. The Claimant could benefit from range of motion exercises and core exercises training to avoid further decline. He was physical stable. He had an essentially normal physical examination. Department Exhibit D 1-5.

On this disability form for his diagnosis of Asperger's Syndrome. He had a hard time focusing where he couldn't collect his thoughts and had difficulty staying on task. The Claimant was severely obese with a BMI of 48.21. He was diagnosed with Asperger's Syndrome, diabetes mellitus, and BMI of 48.21. Department Exhibit 24-26. This has been the Claimant's treating physician for over 10 years. He had an essentially normal physical examination. He was stable with no physical limitations. He was mentally limited in sustained concentrations and social interaction. The Claimant can meet his needs in the home. Department Exhibit 20-22.

At Step 3, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant does have medical improvement and his medical improvement is related to the Claimant's ability to perform substantial gainful activity. The Claimant has no physical impairment. He is not on medications, but in therapy for his mental impairments. His mental impairments are being medically managed. There was no evidence of a severe thought disorder. He did have suicidal ideations and feelings of hopelessness. As a result, the Claimant is able to perform simple and unskilled work. Therefore, the Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

#### Step 4

In Step 4 of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact must determine whether medical improvement is related to Claimant's ability to do work in accordance with 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(i) through (b)(1)(iv). 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iv). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, after careful review of the record, that there has been medical improvement where he can perform work.

At Step 4, the Claimant testified that he does perform some of his daily living activities. The Claimant testified that his condition has worsened because he has an increase in his depression where he has an increase in suicidal thoughts, social phobia, and vertigo. He is not taking medications, but in therapy for his mental impairments. The Claimant does not or has ever used illegal or illicit drugs or smoked. He stopped drinking alcohol in 20 years ago where before he drank socially. The Claimant did not think that there was any work that he could perform.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical improvement is related to his ability to do work. The Claimant should be able to perform at least simple and unskilled work. His mental impairments are being medically managed with therapy. Therefore, the Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4 where the Claimant can perform simple and unskilled work. If there is a finding of medical improvement related to Claimant's ability to perform work, the trier of fact is to move to Step 6 in the sequential evaluation process.

## Step 6

In the sixth step of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact is to determine whether the Claimant's current impairment(s) is not severe per 20 CFR 416.921. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(vi). If the residual functional capacity assessment reveals significant limitations upon a Claimant's ability to engage in basic work activities, the trier of fact moves to Step 7 in the sequential evaluation process. His mental impairments are being medically managed with therapy. In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds Claimant can perform at simple and unskilled work. See Steps 3 and 4. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 6 where the Claimant passes for severity.

#### Step 7

In the seventh step of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact is to assess a Claimant's current ability to engage in substantial gainful activities in accordance with 20 CFR 416.960 through 416.969. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(vii). The trier of fact is to assess the Claimant's current residual functional capacity based on all current impairments and consider whether the Claimant can still do work he/she has done in the past. At Step 7, The Claimant was last employed as a dishwasher in 2003. In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant should be able to perform simple and unskilled work. The Claimant is capable of performing his past relevant work. See Steps 3 and 4. Therefore, the Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 7.

#### Step 8

The objective medical evidence on the record is insufficient that the Claimant lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks or that he is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of his. The Claimant's testimony as to his limitation indicates his limitations are non-exertional and non-exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, the Claimant testified that he has vertigo, chronic depression, suicidal thoughts, social phobia, autism, sleep apnea, insomnia, and high functioning Asperger's syndrome. The Claimant is not taking medication and is in therapy for his mental impairments. See MA analysis step 2. There was no evidence of a serious thought disorder. The Claimant should be capable of performing simple and unskilled work.

In the final step, Step 8, of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact is to consider whether the Claimant can do any other work, given the Claimant's residual function capacity and Claimant's age, education, and past work experience. 416.994(b)(5)(viii). In this case, based upon the Claimant's vocational profile of a a younger aged individual, with a high school education, and a history of unskilled work, MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 204.00 as a guide. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as has vertigo. chronic depression, suicidal thoughts, social phobia, autism, sleep apnea, insomnia, and high functioning Asperger's syndrome. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P. Appendix 2, Section 200.00. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant does have medical improvement in this case and the Department has established by the necessary, competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it proposed to close the Claimant's SDA case based upon medical improvement. Because the Claimant does not meet the disability criteria for SDA, he has had medical improvement making him capable of performing simple and unskilled work.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Claimant not disabled for purposes of the medical review of SDA benefit programs.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's determination is **AFFIRMED**.

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Carmon II. Sahie

Date Mailed: 11/25/2015

CGF/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

