## IN THE MATTER OF:



| MAHS Reg. No.: | $15-015310$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No.: | 2007 |
| Agency Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date: | October 27, 2015 <br> GRATIOT |
| County: |  |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

## HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250 ; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33 ; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 27, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included his spouse, . Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist Liberty and Assistance Payments Supervisor

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility on August 11, 2015?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.
2. On August 11, 2015, the Department re-determined Claimant's eligibility after updating changes reported by Claimant's community spouse. Claimant was sent a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice (DHHS1606) which stated he was eligible for a \$ monthly patient pay amount.
3. On August 19, 2015, Claimant's spouse submitted a hearing request.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

During this hearing it was learned that the changes reported were the result of Claimant's spouse obtaining a reverse mortgage on their residence. The impact was a reduction of monthly shelter expenses from $\$$ down to $\$$. The community spouse allowance and patient pay amount budgets were reviewed during this hearing and found to be correct. Claimant's spouse testified that she does not think the Department did anything wrong, she was just unaware of the impact the reverse mortgage would have.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility on August 11, 2015.

## DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.


Date Signed: 11/10/2015
Date Mailed: 11/10/2015

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS MAY order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be received in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request
If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139


