STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: Issue No.: Agency Case No.: Hearing Date: County:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman

HEARING DECISION

Petitioner filed a request for a hearing, under a United States District Court Order issued on January 9, 2015, which allowed the pursuit of potential benefit recovery related to a Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (Department) criminal justice disqualification. This matter is now before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to the United States District Court Order.

After due notice, a 3-way telephone hearing was held on November 5, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. (Petitioner) appeared on his own behalf. , Assistant Payment Worker, appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Did the Department deny, terminate or reduce Petitioner's benefits for the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance Program (SDA), Refugee Assistance Program (RAP), or Child Development and Care (CDC) due to fugitive felon status of Petitioner or a member of Petitioner's group during the timeframe of December 30, 2012 through January 9, 2015?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner either applied for or received, or was a member of a group that received, FIP, SDA, RAP, or CDC benefits.
- 2. The Department did deny, terminate, or reduce Petitioner's benefits during the timeframe from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015.
- 3. In *Barry v Corrigan*, No. 13-cv-13185, 2015 WL 136238 (ED Mich Jan 9, 2015), and its March 31, 2015 Order Regarding...Implementation of the Court's January

9, 2015 Order, the Court set forth a process by which applicants or beneficiaries of FIP/SDA/RAP/CDC benefits during the timeframe from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015 could seek restoration of the benefits through an administrative hearing process. The process also required that the Department send notices to applicants and beneficiaries that were denied, terminated, or reduced FIP, SDA, RAP, or CDC benefits. The notices were to include a Barry v. Lyon Request for Hearing Form which must be used to request an administrative hearing.

- 4. On **Exercise**, Petitioner filed a Barry v. Lyon Request for Hearing Form, before the deadline date identified on the form, seeking restoration of benefits due to the Department denying, terminating, or reducing FIP, SDA, RAP, or CDC benefits during the timeframe from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015. See Exhibit A, p. 3.
- 5. The Department did not deny, terminate, or reduce Petitioner's or a member of Petitioner's group FIP/SDA/RAP or CDC benefits based on the fugitive felon status during the timeframe from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Health and Human Services (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

The Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) program is established under P.L. 106-386 of 2000, Section 107, and administered by the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to 45 CFR 400.45-.69 and 401.12 and MCL 400.10.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-

193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

As a preliminary matter, on **provide 1**, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) duly served notice of the above-captioned matter to Petitioner at **provide 1**, informing him of a hearing scheduled on Thursday, November 5, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. Petitioner indicated that he never received this notice. However, Petitioner testified that this was his proper address at the time the notice was sent. Petitioner indicated that his neighbors do receive his mail sometimes. MAHS did not receive any returned mail from the United States Postal Service (USPS).

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt which may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976).

Based on the foregoing information and evidence, it is found that Petitioner failed to rebut the presumption of proper mailing. The evidence established that MAHS sent the Notice of Hearing to Petitioner's proper address in October 2015. Moreover, Petitioner acknowledged that the hearing could still proceed even though he indicated that he did not receive the notice. As such, the hearing proceeded accordingly.

In Barry v Corrigan, No. 13-cv-13185, 2015 WL 136238 (ED Mich Jan 9, 2015), the Court concluded that notices the Department sent clients and applicants from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015 denying, terminating, or reducing FIP, SDA,RAP, or CDC benefits due to fugitive felon disgualification violated procedural due process under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. The Court's March 31, 2015 Order Regarding...Implementation of the Court's January 9, 2015 Order set forth a process for which applicants or beneficiaries of FIP, SDA, RAP, or CDC benefits from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015 could seek restoration of the benefits through an administrative hearing process if those benefits were affected due to fugitive Petitioner sought restoration of benefits through this felon disqualification. This Administrative Law Judge is obligated to administrative hearing process. determine whether Petitioner's benefits were affected due to fugitive felon 2015 disgualification pursuant the Court's March 31. Order to Regarding...Implementation of the Court's January 9, 2015 Order.

At the hearing, the Department testified and/or provided document evidence that it did not deny, terminate, or reduce Petitioner's or a member of Petitioner's group FIP/SDA/RAP or CDC benefits based on the fugitive felon status during the timeframe from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015. See Exhibit A, p. 1 (Hearing Summary).

During the hearing, Petitioner argued that his Cash Assistance benefits were denied, terminated, or reduced due to the fugitive felon disqualification during the timeframe in question (2014 and 2015). It should be noted that Petitioner alleged that his Food

Assistance Program (FAP) benefits were also affected by the fugitive felon status. However, the undersigned lacks the jurisdiction in this matter to address Petitioner's dispute with the FAP benefits.

The Department indicated that a review of the Department's system showed that it did not deny, terminate, or reduce Petitioner's or a member of Petitioner's group FIP/SDA/RAP or CDC benefits based on the fugitive felon status during the timeframe from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015. Instead, the Department testified that Petitioner did apply for Cash Assistance on or around April 29, 2014. On May 9, 2014, the Department testified it sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action informing him that his Cash Assistance application was denied effective May 16, 2014, ongoing, for other denial reasons unrelated to the fugitive felon disqualification.

Based on the foregoing information and evidence, the Department responded to Petitioner's claim and determined that his Cash Assistance benefits were not negatively impacted by the fugitive felon disqualification during the timeframe at issue. As such, the undersigned finds that the Department has demonstrated that it did not deny, terminate, or reduce Petitioner's or a member of Petitioner's group FIP/SDA/RAP or CDC benefits based on the fugitive felon status during the timeframe from December 30, 2012 to January 9, 2015.

DECISION

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, determines that the Department did not deny, terminate or reduce Petitioner's benefits in one or more of the following programs: Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance Program (SDA), Refugee Assistance Program (RAP), or Child Development and Care (CDC) due to fugitive felon status of Petitioner or a member of Petitioner's group during the timeframe of December 30, 2012 through January 9, 2015.

Eric Feldman Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: 11/5/2015

Date Mailed: 11/5/2015

EF / hw

NOTICE: The law provides that within 30 days of receipt of the above Decision and Order, the Claimant may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she lives or the circuit court in Ingham County. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System.

