# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: 15-014623 Issue No.: 4009

Agency Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 07, 2015

County: Alpena

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

# HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was held on October 7, 2015 from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant's mother/Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) appeared on behalf of Claimant. Claimant appeared via telephone and provided testimony.

(Family Independence Manager) appeared on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department).

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for State Disability Assistance (SDA) based on the finding that she was not disabled?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 23, 2015, Claimant filed an application for SDA benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On June 30, 2015, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application.
- 3. On June 30, 2015, the Department caseworker sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- 4. On August 5, 2015, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's action.
- 5. A telephone hearing was held on October 7, 2015.

- 6. During the hearing, Claimant has alleged the following disabling impairments: endocarditis, hepatitis C, anemia and edema (left upper extremity).
- 7. At the time of the hearing, Claimant was 25 (twenty-five) years old with a birth date of story; stood 5'5"; and weighed approximately 140 (one hundred and forty) pounds (lbs).
- 8. Claimant has a 10<sup>th</sup> grade education with no employment history.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

With regard to Claimant's request for disability under the State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, it should be noted that the Department's Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) contains policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program. In order to receive SDA, "a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older." BEM, 261 (7-1-2014), p 1.

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he or she: (1) receives other specified disability-related benefits or services<sup>1</sup>; or (2) resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility; or (3) is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability; or (4) is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS). BEM 261, pp 1-2.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) due to disability/blindness, Supplemental Security Income (SSI) due to disability/blindness, Medicaid as blind/disabled based on a disability examiner or MRT determination or hearing decision, or Michigan Rehabilitation Services.

The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources. The claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only the claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

Medical findings must allow a determination of: (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c). A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e). Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

... Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations):
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

The law does not require an applicant to be completely symptom free before a finding of lack of disability can be rendered. In fact, if an applicant's symptoms can be managed to the point where substantial gainful activity can be achieved, a finding of not disabled must be rendered.

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1). The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (e.g. age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

At step one, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is engaging in substantial gainful activity (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that involves doing significant physical or mental activities (20 CFR 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gainful work activity" is work that is usually done for pay or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he or she has demonstrated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CFR 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, he or she is not disabled regardless of how severe his or her physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his or her age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

At step two, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CFR 404.1520(c) and 416.920(c)). An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it significantly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work (20 CFR 404.1521 and 416.921; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p). If the claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he or she is not disabled.

The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v Bowen*, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). An impairment qualifies as non-severe only if, regardless of a claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the claimant's ability to work. *Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

At step three, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirement (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering step four of the sequential evaluation process, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine the claimant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(e)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his or her ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of the claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, the Administrative Law Judge must determine at step four whether the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his or her past relevant work (20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 416.920(f). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 (fifteen) years or 15 (fifteen) years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the claimant to learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his or her past relevant work, the claimant is not disabled. If the claimant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

At the last step of the sequential evaluation process (20 CFR 404.1520(g) and 416.920(g), the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is able to do any other work considering his or her residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the claimant is able to do other work, he or she is not disabled. If the claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirements, he or she is disabled.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967. The terms are defined as follows:

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The analysis begins at Step 1. To be eligible for disability benefits, a person must be unable to engage in substantial gainful activity (SGA). Claimant testified that she has never worked and is not engaged in substantial gainful activity. Therefore, Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1 and the analysis proceeds to Step 2.

At Step 2, Claimant's symptoms are evaluated to see if there is an underlying medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s) that could reasonably be expected to produce Claimant's pain or other symptoms. This must be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. Once an underlying physical or mental impairment(s) has been shown, the Administrative Law Judge must evaluate the intensity, persistence, and limiting effects of Claimant's symptoms to determine the extent to which they limit Claimant's ability to do basic work activities. For this purpose, whenever statements about the intensity, persistence, or functionally limiting effects of pain or other symptoms are not substantiated by objective medical evidence, a finding on the credibility of the statements based on a consideration of the entire case record must be made.

In the present case, Claimant alleges disability due to endocarditis, hepatitis C, anemia and edema (left upper extremity). The following is a summary of Claimant's relevant medical records.

Claimant was admitted to the hospital on with generalized malaise, pain, weakness in the extremities, with fever and chills. The hospital records indicated that Claimant had a past history of current polysubstance abuse (heroin and cocaine). The MRI of her spine due to back pain and showed no abscess, discitis or osteomyelitis. Claimant had a bed sore that healed. She was administered Vancomycin for endocarditis and it was indicated that cardiac surgery was possible after she finishes her antibiotic course. She was ordered to take iron supplements and to follow up with her primary care physician. Her edema improved but she was instructed to follow up with her doctor for full resolution. Claimant's pain was most likely due to opioid withdrawal but could also be related to endocarditis. She was given a behavioral consult for pain/addiction issues. She was referred to rehabilitation for substance abuse. She was discharged on with a diagnosis of: generalized weakness, septic pulmonary embolism due to endocarditis, diffuse pain, hyponatremia, hepatitis C, anemia, edema of extremity, hypomagnesemia, knee joint pain, and Stage 1 pressure ulcer.

The records indicated that Claimant visited a physician to establish herself as a new patient on . Claimant was a no show for an appointment on . Claimant had a follow up visit on to discuss her lab reports. She had a chest CT which was positive for a consolidation on her right lung base consisting of pneumonitis. The records show that Claimant was admitted again in Saginaw for pneumonia. The records on indicated she was feeling well however. She received drug abuse rehabilitation, but continued to complain of chronic pain. She takes narcotics for pain. The medical treater indicated that her labs were

largely normal except for a lot vitamin D level, elevated liver enzymes, positive cryoglobulins and microscopic hematuria.

Claimant was seen again on in follow up. She complained of back and knee pain and requests to continue receiving Norco. She had a physical therapy consultation for her pain. The record indicated that she appeared to have recovered from her addiction. Otherwise, Claimant was doing well and was within the normal range.

The objective clinical evidence shows that Claimant has a physical impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. Claimant has presented medical evidence that demonstrates she has some physical limitations on her ability to perform basic work activities. The medical evidence has established that Claimant has an impairment, or combination of impairments, that has more than a *de minimus* effect on her basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted continuously for 12 (twelve) months; therefore, Claimant is not disqualified from receiving SDA benefits at Step 2.

The analysis proceeds to Step 3 where the medical evidence of Claimant's condition(s) is compared to the listings. In the third step of the sequential analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. The evidence confirms treatment/diagnoses of endocarditis, hepatitis C, anemia and edema (left upper extremity).

The following listings were considered in light of the objective evidence: 4.0 (Cardiovascular System) and 7.00 (Hematological Disorders). Based on the above objective medical evidence, Claimant's listed medical conditions do not meet or medically equal the criteria of a listing.

Before Step 4, the Administrative Law Judge must determine Claimant's residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of her past relevant work. Here, Claimant has no prior employment history. During the hearing, Claimant testified that she can do the following activities: walk short distances with moderate difficulty; grip/grasp without issue; sit; lift/carry at least 10 pounds; stand; and can bend slowly with difficulty. The objective findings do not show any physician imposed limitations.

The question here is whether Claimant has the ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from her impairments. Claimant contends that she is unable to work due to pain in her back and knees. However, Claimant's statements concerning the intensity, persistence and limiting effects of these symptoms are not credible to the extent they are inconsistent with the objective medical records. The records do not confirm a worsening of her condition. Claimant's testimony regarding her symptoms and functional limitations is not credible because there are no objective medical findings that support her claims. Accordingly, the undersigned finds

that Claimant's medically determinable impairments could not reasonably be expected to cause the alleged symptoms. Despite the limitations from her impairments, Claimant is able to perform non-exertional, sedentary employment on a continued basis. Accordingly, the undersigned finds that Claimant's medically determinable impairments could not reasonably be expected to cause the alleged symptoms.

Based on all the evidence, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant can perform physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from her impairments. There is insufficient evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that Claimant is unable to perform her past relevant work. Because Claimant has not previously worked, she has no past relevant work for purposes of Step 4.

At Step 5, this Administrative Law Judge must determine whether or not Claimant has the residual functional capacity to do any other work in the national economy considering his or her residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. At this point, the burden of proof shifts to the Department. The entire record shows that Claimant is capable of non-exertional, sedentary employment on a continued basis.

Medical vocational guidelines have been developed and can be found in 20 CFR, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. When the facts coincide with a particular guideline, the guideline directs a conclusion as to disability. 20 CFR 416.969. Based upon the Medical-Vocational guidelines, Claimant, at age 25, is considered a younger individual, with a limited education or less (7<sup>th</sup> grade through 11<sup>th</sup> grade or less), with unskilled or no work history and capable of sedentary work, is not considered disabled pursuant to Vocational Rule 201.24.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant has not satisfied the burden of proof to show by competent, material and substantial evidence that she has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.920(c). Although Claimant has cited medical problems, there is no objective medical evidence to substantiate Claimant's assertion that her alleged impairment(s) are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disability.

Because Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled and because the evidence of record does not show that Claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 (ninety) days, Claimant is <u>not disabled</u> for purposes of the SDA program.

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it acted in compliance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was not eligible to receive SDA benefits.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department has appropriately established on the record that it acted in compliance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for SDA benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

C. Adam Purnell

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Human Services

C Ash P.

Date Signed: 10/20/2015

Date Mailed: 10/20/2015

CAP/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

 Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

