# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 15-008161 Issue No.: 2001 Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 08, 2015
County: Wayne-District 19

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 8, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included Hearing Facilitator.

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) case?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA benefits.
- 2. In connection with a redetermination (Exhibit B), the Department reprocessed Claimant's eligibility for MA.
- 3. On May 7, 2015, the Department sent Claimant a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice notifying her that her MA case was closing effective June 1, 2015, because she was not under 21, pregnant, the caretaker of a minor child in the home, over age 65, blind or disabled and because she was not income eligible.
- 4. On May 18, 2015, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Claimant disputed the closure of her MA case. The May 7, 2015, Notice of Case Action indicated that the case closed because she was not under 21, pregnant, the caretaker of a minor child in the home, over age 65, blind or disabled and because she was not income eligible (Exhibit A).

MA is available to individuals who (i) are aged (65 or older), blind or disabled under SSI-related categories; (ii) are under age 19, parents or caretakers of children, or pregnant or recently pregnant women; or (iii) meet the eligibility criteria for Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) coverage, a modified adjusted gross income (MAGI)-related MA category. BEM 105 (January 2014), p. 1; Michigan Department of Community Health, Medicaid Provider Manual, Healthy Michigan Plan, § 1.1, available at http://www.mdch.state.mi.us/dch-medicaid/manuals/MedicaidProviderManual.pdf.

In this case, the Department denied Claimant's eligibility for HMP upon concluding that she had excess income. At the hearing, the Department acknowledged that it had erred in determining Claimant's income-eligibility for HMP because it only considered the HMP income limit for a single-person group size. Claimant, who reported in her redetermination that she was married and filed taxes jointly with her husband, has a group size of two for MAGI purposes. See Michigan Department of Community Health, MAGI Related Eligibility Manual, available 5.2, http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/MAGI Manual 457706 7.pdf. Therefore. the income of both Claimant and her husband must be considered to determine if Claimant meets the HMP income limit applicable to a two person household. Michigan Department of Community Health, MAGI Related Eligibility Manual, § 7.2.

Furthermore, a client is entitled to the most beneficial category, which is the one that results in eligibility or the least amount of excess income. BEM 105, p. 2. In her redetermination, Claimant identified herself as disabled. Before closing Claimant's MA case due to income ineligibility, the Department was required to conduct an exparte

review unless Claimant was ineligible for any MA coverage. BAM 220 (April 2015), p. 17; BAM 210 (April 2015), p. 1. When the ex parte review shows that an MA recipient is eligible for MA under another category, the Department must change the coverage. BAM 220, p. 17. When the ex parte review shows that a recipient may have continuing eligibility under another category, but here is not enough information in the case record to determine continued eligibility, the Department must send a verification checklist (including disability determination forms as needed) to proceed with the ex parte review. If the client fails to provide requested verifications or if a review of the information provided establishes that the recipient is not eligible under any MA category, the Department sends timely notice of MA case closure. BAM 220, p. 17. MA coverage continues until the client no longer meets the eligibility requirements for any other MA category. BAM 220, p. 17; BAM 115 (January 2015), p. 8; BAM 815 (January 2015), pp. 2-7.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's MA case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's MA case effective June 1, 2015;
- 2. Reprocess Claimant's MA eligibility;
- 3. Provide Claimant with the most beneficial MA coverage she is eligible to receive from June 1, 2015, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

AIC C

Date Signed: 7/20/2015

Date Mailed: 7/20/2015

ACE / tlf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

