### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 15-007873 Issue No.: 4009 Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

July 01, 2015 WAYNE-DISTRICT 57

#### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37: 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, in person hearing was held on July 1, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. , also appeared as the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included , ES and Medical Contact Worker.

## ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA) and/or State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit programs?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- , the Claimant submitted an application for public assistance 1. On seeking State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not 2. On disabled.
- 3. The Department notified the Claimant's AHR of the MRT determination on
- 4. On , the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

- 5. The Claimant has not alleged any mentally disabling impairments.
- 6. The Claimant alleges physical disabling impairments due to misplacement of his colon after surgery resulting in chronic pain requiring daily use of morphine, inability to pass stool, blood in urine and a rectum which is physically located out of the body.
- 7. At the time of hearing, the Claimant was 36 years old with a date. Claimant is 5'8" tall in height; and weighed 165 pounds. The Claimant is right handed.
- 8. The Claimant completed high school in and also completed a hotel management associates degree in **English**. The Claimant can read and write English. The Claimant's work experience included performing work as a produce clerk for a supermarket and a smaller grocery store. The Claimant last worked in 2009 when he had surgery on his colon.
- 9. The Claimant's impairments have lasted for more than 90 days and are expected to last 12 months or longer.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based

on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a). The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a). establish disability. Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicant takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and, (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3). The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2).

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1). The fivestep analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (e.g., age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a) (4); 20 CFR 416.945.

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need to evaluate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from Step 3 to Step 4. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945. Residual functional capacity is the most an individual can do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1). An individual's residual functional capacity assessment is evaluated at both Steps 4 and 5. 20 CFR

416.920(a)(4). In determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv). In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416.912(a). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a). The individual has the responsibility to prove work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

As outlined above, the first step looks at the individual's current work activity. In the record presented, the Claimant is not involved in substantial gainful activity and, therefore, is not ineligible for disability benefits under Step 1.

The severity of the Claimant's alleged impairment(s) is considered under Step 2. The Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairments. In order to be considered disabled for MA purposes, the impairment must be severe. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(b). An impairment, or combination of impairments, is severe if it significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities regardless of age, education and work experience. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(c). Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. 20 CFR 916.921(b). Examples include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and dealing with changes in a routine work setting.
- ld.

The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v Bowen,* 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). The severity requirement may still be employed as an administrative convenience to screen out claims that are totally groundless solely from a medical standpoint. *Id.* at 863 *citing Farris v Sec of Health and* 

*Human Services,* 773 F2d 85, 90 n.1 (CA 6, 1985). An impairment qualifies as nonsevere only if, regardless of a Claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the Claimant's ability to work. *Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services,* 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985).

The Claimant alleges physical disabling impairments due to chronic severe pain and misplacement of his colon after surgery resulting in chronic pain requiring daily use of morphine, inability to pass stool, blood in urine and a rectum which is physically located out of the body.

The Claimant has not alleged any mental disabling impairments.

A summary of the medical evidence presented at the hearing follows.

The Claimant's treating doctor on **Sector 1** indicated that Claimant underwent an Exploratory Laparotomy with extensive lysis of adhesions, low anterior resection-Rectopexy Omental Flap Creation, Ometopexy, and small bowel serosal repair flexible sigmoidoscopy on **Sector 1**. During this procedure due to adhesions, two sections of the sigmoid colon measuring 10.5 cm and the second measured 7.8 cm for biopsy. The biopsy noted serosal adhesions, rectal prolapse. The current diagnosis is Interior Anal Fissure which he will be scheduled for a lateral internal Sphincterotomy with a minimum of 6 to 8 weeks recovery. The doctor also noted that the Claimant's daily functions are limited due to defecating issues requiring the Claimant to consume laxatives daily.

At a follow up exam on **the Claimant reported bloody bowel movements** with sharp pain with improvement when passing stool. The Claimant complained of constipation and must use laxatives. On examination Claimant had an anterior anal fissure and the treating doctor determined a sphincterotomy (second) was necessary. The Claimant was prescribed Norco for pain.

On **the Claimant underwent an open low anterior resection with** rectopexy surgery for rectal prolapse.

The **medical** notes indicate that in 2012 the Claimant underwent a colon resection with rectopexy, and hemorrhoidectomy. The surgery in December 2014 was due to recurrent rectal prolapse.

On the Claimant was seen for rectal hemorrhage at the hospital without admit.

The Claimant was seen by his treating doctor on **proceeding** for follow up. Notes indicate that patient has rectal prolapse not remediable by surgery. A DHS-49 was also completed with a diagnosis of rectal prolapse and obstructed defecation. The Claimant

was noted as deteriorating and limitations were imposed and the limitations were expected to last 90 days or more. The Claimant was limited to standing less than two hours in an 8 hour workday and sit less than 6 hours in an 8 hour workday. The Claimant could lift occasionally (10 pounds) and could not push or pull with his hands or arms. The evaluation was also based upon clinical records of the Claimant's admittance to the hospital **Claimant and the claimant** for wound drainage and rectal pain.

The Claimant was seen at the hospital on **protocol** with complaints of chronic constipation which has not occurred since prior to surgery in 2012. The patient required multiple laxatives and rectal prolapse with any defecation or Valsalva. At the time the Claimant reported that he has to digitally rectalize himself to defecate. Also after defecating he has to manually reduce to his rectum back inside. The Claimant reported having a transfusion due to anemia in June 2014. Claimant reported significant weight loss due to fear of eating because of fear of having bowel movements causing recurrent rectal prolapse. On follow up study defecography, the study was abnormal with internal prolapse of the anal canal. The doctor recommended a re do of sigmoid resection and rectopexy.

The Claimant credibly testified that he is in constant pain and has difficulty sleeping or doing much of anything. The Claimant can lift 2 pounds, and occasionally needs assistance with dressing, cooking and walking any distance as well as sitting hurts him due to his abdominal and rectal pain. The Claimant also experiences pain when bending. The Claimant's AHR who has known the Claimant for 10 years confirmed that the Claimant clearly demonstrates ongoing pain, he is physically very limited and has problem bathing.

As previously noted, the Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairment(s). As summarized above, the Claimant has presented objective medical evidence establishing that he does have some physical limitations on his ability to perform basic work activities. Accordingly, the Claimant has an impairment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de minimis* effect on the Claimant's basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted continuously for twelve months; therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of MA-P benefits under Step 2.

In the third step of the sequential analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. Listing 5.00 Digestive System Adult and Listing 6.00 Genitourinary System were examined in detail and it is determined that the listings were not met.

Therefore, the Claimant cannot be found disabled, or not disabled, at Step 3. Accordingly, the Claimant's eligibility is considered under Step 4. 20 CFR 416.905(a).

The fourth step in analyzing a disability claim requires an assessment of the Claimant's residual functional capacity ("RFC") and past relevant employment. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(iv). An individual is not disabled if he/she can perform past relevant work. *Id*.; 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3). Past relevant work is work that has been performed within the past 15 years that was a substantial gainful activity and that lasted long enough for the individual to learn the position. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(1). Vocational factors of age, education, and work experience, and whether the past relevant employment exists in significant numbers in the national economy are not considered. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3). RFC is assessed based on impairment(s) and any related symptoms, such as pain, which may cause physical and mental limitations that affect what can be done in a work setting. RFC is the most that can be done, despite the limitations.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, jobs are classified as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work involves lifting of no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. *Id.* Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met.

Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing up to 10 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(b). Even though weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. *Id.* To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, an individual must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. *Id.* An individual capable of light work is also capable of sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. *Id.* 

Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(c). An individual capable of performing medium work is also capable of light and sedentary work. *Id.* Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(d). An individual capable of heavy work is also capable of medium, light, and sedentary work. *Id.* Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing the two sedentary work. *Id.* Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing 50 pounds or more. 20 CFR 416.967(e). An individual capable of very heavy work is able to perform work under all categories. *Id.* 

Limitations or restrictions which affect the ability to meet the demands of jobs other than strength demands (exertional requirements, e.g., sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, or pulling) are considered nonexertional. 20 CFR 416.969a(a). In considering whether an individual can perform past relevant work, a comparison of the individual's residual functional capacity to the demands of past relevant work must be made. Id. If an individual can no longer do past relevant work, the same residual functional capacity assessment along with an individual's age, education, and work experience is considered to determine whether an individual can adjust to other work which exists in the national economy. Id. Examples of non-exertional limitations or restrictions include difficulty function due to nervousness, anxiousness, or depression; difficulty maintaining attention or concentration; difficulty understanding or remembering detailed instructions; difficulty in seeing or hearing; difficulty tolerating some physical feature(s) of certain work settings (e.g., can't tolerate dust or fumes); or difficulty performing the manipulative or postural functions of some work such as reaching, handling, stooping, climbing, crawling, or crouching. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(1)(i) - (vi). If the impairment(s) and related symptoms, such as pain, only affect the ability to perform the non-exertional aspects of work-related activities, the rules in Appendix 2 do not direct factual conclusions of disabled or not disabled. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(2). The determination of whether disability exists is based upon the principles in the appropriate sections of the regulations, giving consideration to the rules for specific case situations in Appendix 2. Id.

The Claimant's prior work history consists of employment performing work as a produce clerk. This job required lifting and carrying 10 pounds and stooping, bending and standing most of the day, which the Claimant credibly testified he could no longer do. In addition, based upon the above documented limitations by the Claimant's treating doctor who imposed limitations, it is clear that the Claimant's current restrictions imposed by his doctor would no longer allow the Claimant to perform his prior work. In light of the Claimant's testimony and records, and in consideration of the Occupational Code, the Claimant's prior work is classified as unskilled skilled light work.

At the hearing, the Claimant testified as previously outlined that he is restricted due to pain and weakness from standing more than 5 minutes and sitting hurts him. The Claimant's evaluation is not in conflict with that of his treating doctor's evaluation. The Claimant also experiences sleep interruptions several times a night due to ongoing pain.

If the impairment or combination of impairments does not limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. 20 CFR 416.920. In consideration of the Claimant's testimony, medical records, and current limitations, it is found that the Claimant is not able to return to past relevant work due in large part the lifting requirements and moving requirements including stooping, crawling, and climbing stairs, and his limited ability to walk any significant distance. Thus, the fifth step in the sequential analysis is required.

In Step 5, an assessment of the individual's residual functional capacity and age, education, and work experience is considered to determine whether an adjustment to other work can be made. 20 CFR 416.920(4)(v). The Claimant is 32 years old and, thus, is considered to be an individual of younger individual for MA purposes. The Claimant also completed high school and two years of college in computer technology. Disability is found if an individual is unable to adjust to other work. Id. At this point in the analysis, the burden shifts from the Claimant to the Department to present proof that the Claimant has the residual capacity to substantial gainful employment. 20 CFR 416.960(2); Richardson v Sec of Health and Human Services, 735 F2d 962, 964 (CA 6, 1984). While a vocational expert is not required, a finding supported by substantial evidence that the individual has the vocational qualifications to perform specific jobs is needed to meet the burden. O'Banner v Sec of Health and Human Services, 587 F2d 321, 323 (CA 6, 1978). Medical-Vocational guidelines found at 20 CFR Subpart P, Appendix II, may be used to satisfy the burden of proving that the individual can perform specific jobs in the national economy. Heckler v Campbell, 461 US 458, 467 (1983); Kirk v Secretary, 667 F2d 524, 529 (CA 6, 1981) cert den 461 US 957 (1983).

Based upon the foregoing objective medical evidence particularly the limitations imposed by the Claimant's treating doctor and his current evaluation and additional surgery. These limitations do not support a finding that Claimant is capable of performing sedentary work. Sedentary work requires lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. *Id.* Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met.

This Administrative Law Judge does take into account Claimant's complaints of pain in that the diagnosis of chronic pain due to his ongoing surgeries and rectal/anal pain does support such a claim based upon medical, limitations imposed due to his constipation, and difficulty defecating. Subjective complaints of pain where there are objectively established medical conditions that can reasonably be expected to produce the pain must be taken into account in determining a Claimant's limitations. *Duncan v Secretary of HHS*, 801 F2d 847, 853 (CA6, 1986); 20 CFR 404.1529-416.929.

Both the Claimant's treating doctor and the consultative doctor note serious restrictions due to Claimant's physical impairment related to defecation obstruction and rectal prolapse.

The evaluations and medical opinions of a "treating "physician is "controlling" if it is wellsupported by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques and is not inconsistent with the other substantial evidence in the case record. 20 CFR§ 404.1527(d)(2), Deference was given by the undersigned to objective medical testing and clinical observations of the Claimant's treating physician that completed the DHS-49 who places the Claimant at less than sedentary. The total impact caused by the physical impairment suffered by the Claimant must be considered. In doing so, it is found that the Claimant's physical impairments have a major impact on his ability to perform even basic work activities. In consideration of the foregoing and in light of the medically objective physical limitations and pain, and the fact that the Department did not present any vocational evidence to support whether any jobs exist in the national economy that the Claimant could perform given his limitations, accordingly, it is found that the Claimant is unable to perform the full range of activities for even sedentary work as defined in 20 CFR 416.967(a).

After review of the entire record, and in consideration of the Claimant's age, education, work experience and residual functional capacity, it is found that the Claimant is disabled for purposes of the SDA program at Step 5.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Claimant disabled for purposes of the SDA benefit program.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Claimant disabled not disabled for purposes of the MA and/or SDA benefit program.

# DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's determination is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall reregister and reprocess the Claimant application for SDA and determine Claimant's non medical eligibility if not previously determined.
- 2. The Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for SDA benefits he is otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

3. A review of this case shall be schedule for July 2016.

Menis

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: 7/29/2015

Date Mailed: 7/29/2015

LMF / \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 12 of 12 15-007873 LMF

