STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 15-007651 Issue No.: 1008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 18, 2015 County: WAYNE-(17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 18, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included FIS.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for FIP cash assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant filed an application for FIP cash assistance on March 19, 2015.
- 2. The Department denied the application by Notice of Case Action dated March 19, 2015 due to being disqualified previously for the third time for failure to participate in employment-related activities. Exhibit 2.
- 3. The Claimant received her third finding of noncompliance without good cause as of October 14, 2014 and that action by the Department was final.
- 4. The Claimant requested a hearing on May 13, 2015 believing her application was denied because she failed to attend Work First for the March 19, 2015 application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

In this case, the Department denied the Claimant's FIP cash assistance application due to the Claimant receiving 3 sanctions for noncompliance with work-related activities which resulted in a lifetime sanction and disqualification of the Claimant from receiving FIP cash assistance benefits. At the hearing, the Department produced a Non-Cooperation Summary penalty counter which demonstrated that the Claimant had received 3 prior sanctions due to findings of non-cooperation and noncompliance for Claimant's failure to participate in employment-related activities, (PATH) Exhibit 1. The First non-cooperation was on July 1, 2012, the second on February 1, 2013 and the third was October 1, 2014. Exhibits 1, 4 and 5.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP EDG closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the individual's first occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than three calendar months.
- For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.
- For the individual's third occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime sanction. (emphasis supplied).

The individual penalty counter begins April 1, 2007. Individual penalties served after October 1, 2011 will be added to the individual's existing penalty count. BEM 233A (May 1, 2015) p. 8

Note: Do not apply the three month, six month or lifetime penalty to ineligible caretakers, clients deferred for lack of child care and disqualified aliens. Failure to complete the FAST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provide requested verification. Clients can reapply at any time. BEM 233A p. 9.

Based upon the penalty counter provided as evidence by the Department and confirming Notices of Case Action issued by the Department with respect to all three sanctions which were imposed, the last of which was imposed in October 2014, it is determined that the Department properly denied the Claimant's FIP Cash Assistance application as she is disqualified from receiving further FIP benefits due to receiving a lifetime sanction and disqualification.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied the Claimant's FIP application due to the Claimant's lifetime Disqualification from the FIP program due to receiving 3 penalty sanctions for failure to participate with employment related activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: 6/25/2015

Date Mailed: 6/25/2015

LMF / cl

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

