

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

████████████████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 15-006725
Issue Nos.: 1001, 3001
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: June 3, 2015
County: Wayne (17-Greenfield/Joy)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 3, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included ██████████

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On March 13, 2015, Claimant applied for FAP, FIP and Medical Assistance (MA).
2. On April 10, 2015, the Department sent Claimant a notice of noncompliance for failure to participate in employment-related activities.
3. On April 10, 2015, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing him that his FAP benefits had been denied for his noncompliance with employment-related activities.
4. On April 24, 2015, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the denial of his FAP and FIP applications.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

FIP

Claimant does not qualify for FIP benefits because he has no children and is not disabled. This Administrative Law Judge finds in favor of the Department in its denial of Claimant's FIP application.

FAP

The Department denied Claimant's FAP application due to his noncompliance with employment-related issues.

The Department held a triage with Claimant but found no good cause. Claimant testified at the hearing, and at the triage, that he had been fired because his employer wanted to replace them with someone else. According to Claimant's testimony, his employer "stated that he [Claimant] was not in compliance parallel."

This Administrative Law Judge finds Claimant in compliance with work-related activities as his firing was an "unplanned event or factor." In fact, Department policy lists "firing" as good cause unless due to absenteeism and misconduct. BEM 233A (October 2014).

In the instant case, there is no evidence that Claimant was fired for absenteeism or misconduct.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for FAP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED IN PART** with respect to the Department's denial of the Claimant's FIP application and **REVERSED IN PART** with respect to the Department's denial/closure of Claimant's FAP application.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP benefits back to March 13, 2015, and supplement for any missed benefits.



Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **6/17/2015**

Date Mailed: **6/17/2015**

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

