

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 15-006327  
Issue No.: 2001  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: June 04, 2015  
County: Montcalm

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Kevin Scully

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on June 04, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department included [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) properly determine the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits on February 8, 2015.
2. The Claimant's husband receives monthly Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) in the gross monthly amount of \$ [REDACTED].
3. The Claimant receives monthly Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) in the gross monthly amount of \$ [REDACTED].
4. The Claimant's husband receives monthly pension income in the gross monthly amount of \$ [REDACTED].
5. On March 11, 2015, the Department notified the Claimant that she was approved for MA benefits with a deductible.
6. On April 14, 2015, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting her Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits on February 8, 2015. As a Medicare recipient, the Claimant is not eligible for MA benefits under the Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP).<sup>1</sup> As a group of two, the Claimant receives a total gross monthly income of \$ [REDACTED] which is the sum their Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits and their pension income, which makes them ineligible for full MA benefits without a deductible. Department of Health and Human Services Reference Table Manual (RFT) 242 (May 1, 2015), pp 1-2.

A review of Claimant's case reveals that the Department has budgeted the correct amount of income received by the Claimant. The Claimant's "protected income level" is \$ [REDACTED] and this amount cannot be changed either by the Department or by this Administrative Law Judge. Department of Human Services Reference Table Manual (RFT) 240 (December 1, 2013), p 1. The Department's determination that the Claimant has a \$ [REDACTED] deductible per month she must meet in order to qualify for MA for any medical expenses as of May 1, 2105, is therefore correct.

The Claimant argued that their MA benefits with a high deductible are insufficient based on their fixed income.

The Claimant's grievance centers on dissatisfaction with the Department's current policy. The Claimant's request is not within the scope of authority delegated to this Administrative Law Judge. Administrative Law Judges have no authority to make exceptions to the Department policy set out in the program manuals. Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of executive power rather than judicial power, and restricts the granting of equitable remedies. *Michigan Mutual Liability Co. v Baker*, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940).


---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Health and Human Services Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) Related Eligibility Manual, pp XXX. This manual is available on the internet at [http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/MAGI\\_Manual\\_457706\\_7.pdf](http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/MAGI_Manual_457706_7.pdf)

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined the level of Medical Assistance (MA) benefits that the Claimant is eligible for.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin Scully  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **6/9/2015**

Date Mailed: **6/9/2015**

KS/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

