# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 15-003688 Issue No.: 2001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: April 27, 2015

County: Wayne-District 41 (Fort Wayne)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin** 

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 27, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included Realth and Coordinator.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close Claimant's children's Medical Assistance (MA) cases?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's two children were ongoing recipients of MA benefits.
- 2. On November 10, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination concerning the children's ongoing MA eligibility and requested that Claimant return the completed redetermination to the Department by December 1, 2014 (Exhibit B).
- 3. The Department denied receiving a completed redetermination (Exhibit C).
- 4. On December 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her children's MA cases were closing effective December 31, 2014, because she had failed to submit a completed redetermination.

5. On February 2, 2015, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The Department did not provide the relevant Notice of Case Action concerning Claimant's children's MA cases but testified that the children's cases closed because no completed redetermination was timely returned before the MA certification period expired on December 31, 2014. The Department requires recipients of state benefits to complete redeterminations at least once every twelve months. BAM 210 (July 2014), p 1. MA benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p 2.

In this case, the Department testified that it had not received a completed redetermination from Claimant and presented a log showing that no redetermination had been logged in as received. Claimant testified that she completed the redetermination prior to the due date, placed it in an envelope addressed to the Lansing address identified on the first page of the redetermination form, and handed it to her mail carrier on November 15, 2014. She presented a copy of the completed redetermination, which included paystubs, signed by her on November 14, 2014 (Exhibit 1). The Department acknowledged that mail sent to Lansing was processed by Lansing, not the local office, and was uploaded to the client's electronic data management file, at which time the worker in the local office would be notified of the received document.

Based on the evidence in this case, where Claimant was able to identify when she sent in the completed redetermination and had retained a signed copy of the document she testified she had sent, Claimant established that she timely submitted the completed redetermination and the Department erred when it failed to log in the redetermination. BAM 210, p. 10.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's children's MA cases.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's children's MA cases effective January 1, 2015;
- 2. Register and process the redetermination;
- 3. Provide the children with MA coverage they are eligible to receive from January 1, 2015 ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: 4/29/2015

Date Mailed: 4/29/2015

ACE / tlf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a

rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

