STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 15-003266

Issue No.: 3005 Case No.:

Hearing Date:

June 09, 2015

County: **WAYNE-DISTRICT 31**

(GRANDMONT)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health And Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 9, 2015, from , Regulation Agent of Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent did not appear at the hearing. The Notice of Disqualification Hearing (MAHS-827) sent to Respondent was not returned as undeliverable. In accordance with 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5), and Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 720 the hearing proceeded in Respondent's absence.

ISSUE

Whether Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) by making a fraudulent statement or representation regarding her identity or residence in order to receive multiple Food Assistance Program benefits simultaneously and whether Respondent received a \$ over-issuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits from May 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014 which the Department is entitled to recoup?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the clear and convincing evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Respondent signed the affidavit in the Mid-Certification Report certifying notice of reporting requirements as well as the conditions that constitute fraud/IPV and trafficking and the potential consequences.

- 2. Respondent had no apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
- 3. Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) by intentionally failing to report a change of physical residence to Texas and continuing to receive and use Michigan Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits when no longer a physical resident of Michigan and no longer eligible for benefits through Michigan.
- 4. Respondent received multiple FAP benefits simultaneously by making a fraudulent statement or representation regarding his identity or residence.
- 5. In accordance with Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 720 May 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014 has correctly been determined as the over-issuance period associated with this Intentional Program Violation (IPV).
- 6. During the over-issuance period Respondent received a \$ over-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits.
- 7. This is Respondent's 1st Intentional Program Violation (IPV).
- 8. The Department's OIG filed a disqualification hearing request on March 10, 2015.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Prior to August 1, 2008, Department policies were contained in the Department of Human Services Program Administrative Manuals (PAM), Department of Human Services Program Eligibility Manual (PEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Schedules Manual (RFS).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 720 Intentional Program Violation (2014) governs the Department's actions in this case. The Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, **and**

The total amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs combined is \$500 or more, **or**

The total OI amount is less than \$500, and

The group has a previous IPV, **or**The alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, **or**The alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), **or**The alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

Intentional Program Violation

BAM 720 states that a suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

The client intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and

The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and

The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. In other words, the Department must show that the Respondent engaged in a fraudulent act or omission they knew would result in receiving assistance they were not eligible for.

In this case, the Department presented a Mid-Certification Report that Respondent submitted to the Department prior to the alleged OI period. This application is sufficient to establish that Respondent certified knowledge of reporting requirements as well as the conditions that constitute fraud/IPV and trafficking and the potential consequences.

Subsequently Respondent applied for and began receiving Food Assistance Program benefits through Texas beginning May 3, 2013 while she was representing herself as a Michigan resident.

Over-issuance Period

BAM 720 states that the over-issuance period begins the first month (or pay period for CDC) benefit issuance exceeds the amount allowed by policy **or** 72 months (6 years) before the date it was referred to the RS, whichever is later.

To determine the first month of the over-issuance period (for over-issuances 11/97 or later) Bridges allows time for:

The client reporting period, per BAM 105.

The full standard of promptness (SOP) for change processing, per BAM 220.

The full negative action suspense period.

The over-issuance period ends the month (or pay period for CDC) before the benefit is corrected.

In this case, the Department submitted evidence showing that Respondent applied for and began receiving Food Assistance Program benefits through Texas beginning May 3, 2013 while she was representing herself as a Michigan resident. Respondent was not eligible for Food Assistance Program benefits once she was no longer a physical resident of Michigan.

Over-issuance Amount

BAM 720 states the over-issuance amount is the benefit amount the client actually received minus the amount the client was actually eligible to receive. The Department presented a benefit summary showing that the State of Michigan issued Respondent a total of since Food Assistance Program benefits during the over-issuance period. Respondent was not eligible for any Food Assistance Program benefits through Michigan. Respondent received a sover-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits.

Disqualification

BAM 720 states that a court or hearing decision that finds a client committed an IPV disqualifies that client from receiving program benefits. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits.

Clients who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard disqualification period of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV and a lifetime disqualification for the third IPV.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203 Criminal Justice Disqualifications (2015) at page 1, states:

A person is disqualified for a period of 10 years if found guilty through the administrative hearing process, convicted in court or by signing a repayment and disqualification agreement (such as a DHS-826, Request for Waiver of Disqualification Hearing, or DHS-830, Disqualification Consent Agreement,) of having made a fraudulent statement or representation regarding his identity or residence in order to receive multiple FAP benefits simultaneously.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) which resulted in a program benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup.

This is Respondent's 1st Intentional Program Violation (IPV) of the Food Assistance Program. Respondent received multiple FAP benefits simultaneously by making a fraudulent statement or representation regarding his identity or residence. The Department may disqualify Respondent from receiving Food Assistance Program benefits in accordance with Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203 Criminal Justice Disqualifications.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

Gary Heisler

Administrative Law Judge

for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: 6/26/2015

Date Mailed: 6/26/2015

GFH/

NOTICE: The law provides that within 30 days of receipt of the above Hearing Decision, the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she lives or the circuit court in Ingham County. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

