STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-2484; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MA	Docket No. 15-004701 HHS
Appe	llant.
DECISION AND ORDER	
	is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 431.200 et seq., and upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.
Appellant's Appellant a represented	Home Care Manager, appeared and testified on Appellant's behalf. Iso testified on her own behalf. The Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS or Department). The Adult Services Worker, and testified as witnesses for the Department.
ISSUE	
Did th	ne Department properly terminate Appellant's Home Help Services (HHS)?
FINDINGS (OF FACT
	strative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial the whole record, finds as material fact:
1.	Appellant is a year-old Medicaid beneficiary who has been diagnosed with asthma, anxiety disorder, and obsessive disorder. (Exhibit Apage 10).
2.	Appellant had been receiving HHS in the amount of minutes per month, with a total monthly care cost of (Exhibit A, page 13).

Specifically, assistance was authorized for the Activity of Daily Living

(ADL) of mobility and the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs) of

taking medications and meal preparation. (Exhibit A, page 13).

3.

- 4. On conducted a review in Appellant's home with Appellant and her representative. (Exhibit A, page 8).
- 5. During that review, Appellant was walking and bending independently, without difficulty, and without the use of any adaptive equipment. (Exhibit A, page 8; Testimony of Appellant's representative; Testimony of
- 6. Appellant's representative also reported that Appellant has been a little unstable since a recent seizure, her first in almost years, and that, while Appellant does not need a walker, she does occasionally lean on people. (Exhibit A, page 8; Testimony of Appellant's representative; Testimony of Testi
- 7. Appellant's representative further reported that Appellant needs prompting to complete bathing and dressing, grooms herself independently, and requires assistance with meal preparation and taking medications. (Exhibit A, page 8; Testimony of Appellant's representative; Testimony of Description.)
- 8. On the Department sent Appellant written notice that her HHS would be terminated, effective manage all her ADLs independently. (Exhibit A, pages 5-7).
- 9. On the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) received the complete and signed request for hearing in this matter. (Exhibit A, page 1).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual 101 (12-1-2013) (hereinafter "ASM 101") and Adult Services Manual 120 (12-1-2013) (hereinafter "ASM 120") address the issues of what services are included in Home Help Services and how such services are assessed.

For example, ASM 101 provides:

Home help services are non-specialized personal care service activities provided under the independent living services program to persons who meet eligibility requirements.

Home help services are provided to enable individuals with functional limitation(s), resulting from a medical or physical disability or cognitive impairment to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings.

Home help services are defined as those tasks which the department is paying for through Title XIX (Medicaid) funds. These services are furnished to individuals who are **not** currently residing in a hospital, nursing facility, licensed foster care home/home for the aged, intermediate care facility (ICF) for persons with developmental disabilities or institution for mental illness.

These activities **must** be certified by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies. **The medical professional does not prescribe or authorize personal care services.** Needed services are determined by the comprehensive assessment conducted by the adult services specialist.

Personal care services which are eligible for Title XIX funding are limited to:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking medication.
- Meal preparation/cleanup.
- Shopping for food and other necessities of daily living.

- Laundry.
- Housework.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

Note: If an individual uses adaptive equipment to assist with an ADL, and without the use of this equipment the person would require hands-on care, the individual must be ranked a level 3 or greater on the functional assessment. This individual would be eligible to receive home help services.

Example: Mr. Jones utilizes a transfer bench to get in and out of the bathtub which allows him to bathe himself without the hands-on assistance of another. The adult services specialist must rank Mr. Jones a 3 or greater under the functional assessment. Mr. Jones would be eligible to receive home help services.

Assistive technology would include such items as walkers, wheelchairs, canes, reachers, lift chairs, bath benches, grab bars and handheld showers.

* * *

Services not Covered by Home Help

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding, teaching or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2).
- Services provided for the benefit of others.

- Services for which a responsible relative is able and available to provide (such as house cleaning, laundry or shopping). A responsible relative is defined as an individual's spouse or a parent of an unmarried child under age 18.
- Services provided by another resource at the same time (for example, hospitalization, MI-Choice Waiver).
- Transportation See Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 825 for medical transportation policy and procedures.
- Money management such as power of attorney or representative payee.
- Home delivered meals.
- Adult or child day care.
- Recreational activities. (For example, accompanying and/or transporting to the movies, sporting events etc.)

Note: The above list is not all inclusive.

ASM 101, pages 1-3, 5

Moreover, ASM 120 states:

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.

- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication.
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs are assessed according to the following five point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Home Help payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services if assessed at a level 3 or greater.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's [sic] if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

Note: If an individual uses adaptive equipment to assist with an ADL, and without the use of this equipment the person would require hands-on care, the individual must be ranked a level 3 or greater on the functional assessment. This individual would be eligible to receive home help services.

Example: Mr. Jones utilizes a transfer bench to get in and out of the bathtub, which allows him to bathe himself without the hands-on assistance of another. The adult services specialist must rank Mr. Jones a 3 or greater under the functional assessment. Mr. Jones would be eligible to receive home help services.

Assistive technology includes such items as walkers, wheelchairs, canes, reachers, lift chairs, bath benches, grab bars and hand held showers.

See ASM 121, Functional Assessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rankings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

ASM 120, pages 2-4

As described in the above policies, an individual is only eligible to receive HHS in general, or with any IADLs in particular, if he or she has a need for assistance with at least one ADL at a level 3 or greater on the functional scale.

In this case, the Department terminated Appellant's HHS on the basis that Appellant did not have a need for assistance with any ADLs at a level 3 or greater on the functional scale. Specifically, while Appellant was previously ranked a "3" in the ADL of mobility, found that the ranking was no longer proper after observing Appellant

walking independently, *i.e.* without the use of a walker, a cane, or the assistance of another person. Appellant and her representative also failed to identify any need for direct physical assistance with any ADL during the home visit.

In response, Appellant's representative testified that, while testimony and notes were accurate, Appellant's circumstances have changed and her medical condition has worsened since the home visit. She also testified that Appellant now needs assistance with some ADLs, including grooming.

However, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge is limited to reviewing the Department's decision in light of the information available at the time the decision was made and, in this case, the undisputed information demonstrates that Appellant did not meet the criteria for HHS because she did not have a need for assistance with at least one ADL at a level 3 or greater on the functional scale. Accordingly, the Department's decision must be affirmed. To the extent Appellant's circumstances have changed, she will have to file a new request for services with the Department and, if necessary, a new request for hearing.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly terminated Appellant's HHS.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Steven Kibit
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director

onen Kibit

Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: _

Date Mailed:

SK/db

CC:

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.