STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-2484; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:

Docket No. 15-004081 HHS

Appellant.

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, and upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the second seco

ISSUE

Did the Department properly terminate Appellant's Home Help Services (HHS)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Appellant is a year-old Medicaid beneficiary who has been diagnosed with dorsal lumbar pain, muscle spasms, myalgia, asthma, and diverticulitis. (Exhibit A, page 12).
- 2. Appellant had been receiving HHS in the amount of hours and minutes per month, with a total monthly care cost of (Exhibit A, page 13).
- 3. Specifically, assistance was authorized for the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs) of housework, laundry, shopping, and meal preparation. (Exhibit A, page 13).

Docket No. 15-004081 HHS Decision and Order

- 4. Moreover, while no assistance was authorized for any Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), Appellant was also ranked a "3" in the ADL of mobility by the Department due to her need to use a walker following a knee replacement. (Exhibit A, page 13).
- 5. On Appellant's home with Appellant and the home help provider. (Exhibit A, page 11).
- 6. Following that assessment, no changes were made to Appellant's HHS. (Exhibit A, page 11).
- 7. However, a few months later, **Provide** I and Appellant ran into each other out in public and, during that contact, Appellant was walking independently and without the use of a walker, a cane, or the assistance of another person. (Testimony of Appellant; Testimony of **Provide** I).
- 9. On the Department sent Appellant written notice that her HHS would be terminated, effective . (Exhibit A, pages 7-10).
- 10. On **Example 1**, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) received the request for hearing filed by Appellant in this matter. (Exhibit A, pages 4-6).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual 101 (12-1-2013) (hereinafter "ASM 101") and Adult Services Manual 120 (12-1-2013) (hereinafter "ASM 120") address the issues of what services are included in Home Help Services and how such services are assessed.

Docket No. 15-004081 HHS Decision and Order

For example, ASM 101 provides:

Home help services are non-specialized personal care service activities provided under the independent living services program to persons who meet eligibility requirements.

Home help services are provided to enable individuals with functional limitation(s), resulting from a medical or physical disability or cognitive impairment to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings.

Home help services are defined as those tasks which the department is paying for through Title XIX (Medicaid) funds. These services are furnished to individuals who are **not** currently residing in a hospital, nursing facility, licensed foster care home/home for the aged, intermediate care facility (ICF) for persons with developmental disabilities or institution for mental illness.

These activities **must** be certified by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies. **The medical professional does not prescribe or authorize personal care services.** Needed services are determined by the comprehensive assessment conducted by the adult services specialist.

Personal care services which are eligible for Title XIX funding are limited to:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking medication.
- Meal preparation/cleanup.
- Shopping for food and other necessities of daily living.

- Laundry.
- Housework.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

Note: If an individual uses adaptive equipment to assist with an ADL, and without the use of this equipment the person would require hands-on care, the individual must be ranked a level 3 or greater on the functional assessment. This individual would be eligible to receive home help services.

Example: Mr. Jones utilizes a transfer bench to get in and out of the bathtub which allows him to bathe himself without the hands-on assistance of another. The adult services specialist must rank Mr. Jones a 3 or greater under the functional assessment. Mr. Jones would be eligible to receive home help services.

Assistive technology would include such items as walkers, wheelchairs, canes, reachers, lift chairs, bath benches, grab bars and handheld showers.

ASM 101, pages 1-3 of 5

Moreover, ASM 120 states:

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication.
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs are assessed according to the following five point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Home Help payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services if assessed at a level 3 or greater.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's [sic] if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

Note: If an individual uses adaptive equipment to assist with an ADL, and without the use of this equipment the person would require hands-on care, the individual must be ranked a level 3 or greater on the functional assessment. This individual would be eligible to receive home help services.

Example: Mr. Jones utilizes a transfer bench to get in and out of the bathtub, which allows him to bathe himself without the hands-on assistance of another. The adult services specialist must rank Mr. Jones a 3 or greater under the functional assessment. Mr. Jones would be eligible to receive home help services.

Assistive technology includes such items as walkers, wheelchairs, canes, reachers, lift chairs, bath benches, grab bars and hand held showers.

See ASM 121, Functional Assessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rankings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

Docket No. 15-004081 HHS Decision and Order

As described in the above policy, an individual is only eligible to receive HHS in general, or with any IADLs in particular, if he or she has a need for assistance with at least one ADL at a level 3 or greater on the functional scale.

In this case, the Department decided to terminate Appellant's HHS on the basis that Appellant did not have a need for assistance with any ADLs at a level 3 or greater on the functional scale. Specifically, while Appellant was previously ranked a "3" in the ADL of mobility, **Security** I found that the ranking was no longer proper after observing Appellant walking independently, *i.e.* without the use of a walker, a cane, or the assistance of another person, while out in public. Appellant was also carrying a small child at the time.

In response, Appellant testified that, while did observe her walking independently and carrying a small child while out in public, Appellant was only able to do so because she was feeling fine that day. Appellant also testified that she feels worse on other days and that she stills needs to use her walker to times a week.

Appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the Department erred in terminating her HHS. Here, the only ADL in dispute is mobility and, with respect to that task, Adult Services Manual 121 (5-1-2013), page 4 of 6, states:

Mobility - Walking or moving around inside the living area, changing locations in a room, assistance with stairs or maneuvering around pets, or obstacles including uneven floors.

- 1 No assistance required even though the client may experience some difficulty or discomfort. Completion of the task poses no risk to safety.
- 2 Client is able to move independently with only reminding or encouragement. For example, needs reminding to lock a brace, unlock a wheelchair or to use a cane.
- 3 Minimal hands-on assistance required for specific maneuvers with a wheelchair, negotiating stairs or moving on certain surfaces. Without the use of a walker or pronged cane, client would need physical assistance.
- 4 Requires direct hands-on assistance with most aspects of mobility. Would be at risk if left alone.

5 Totally dependent on other for all mobility. Must be carried, lifted or pushed in a wheelchair or gurney at all times.

Given that definition and the evidence in this case, Appellant has failed to meet her burden of proof and the Department's decision must be affirmed. It is undisputed that Appellant was walking independently while out in public and in contrast to what she reported during the most recent assessment. Moreover, while Appellant also testified that she still needs to use a walker occasionally, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge does not find her credible on that issue and her needs do not rise to a level 3 or greater on the functional scale. Accordingly, Appellant failed to meet her burden of proof and the Department's decision must be sustained.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly terminated Appellant's HHS.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kihit

Steven Kibit Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed:	
Date Mailed:	
SK/db	
cc:	

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

*** NOTICE ***