STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 15-002895

Issue No.: 4009

Case No.:
Hearing Date: March 26, 2015
County: Genesee-District 2

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, March 26, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) included Health and Facilitator.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On November 17, 2014, the Claimant applied for SDA.
- On January 13, 2015, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the Claimant's application for SDA per BEM 261 because the nature and severity of the Claimant's impairment's would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.
- On February 19, 2015, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that his application was denied.
- 4. On February 26, 2015, the Department received a hearing request from the Claimant, contesting the Department's negative action.
- 5. The Claimant is a 53 year-old man whose date of birth is Claimant is 6' 1" tall and weighs 255 pounds. The Claimant has completed High School and a bachelors and a master's in Business Administration. The Claimant can read and write and do basic math. The Claimant was last

- employed as a roofing salesman in October 2013. The Claimant has also been employed as a food salesman and plant supervisor.
- 6. The Claimant's alleged impairments are arthritis, degenerative disc disease, major depression, bipolar disorder, and concussions.
- 7. On March 28, 2014, the Claimant received an unfavorable ruling from the Social Security Disability Determination Appeals Council, which is a controlling ruling.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

This is a case in which the Disability Determination Service has denied the SSI claim in the past 12 months. Since the allegations are the same, the Social Security Appeals Decision is required to be used as the basis for this decision. Therefore, Claimant's application for SDA is denied and no further analysis is required.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department correctly determined that Claimant is not currently disabled for SDA eligibility purposes. The Disability Determination Service has denied the Claimant's SSI claim in the past 12 months.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **UPHELD**.

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human

Carmon II. Salvie

Date Signed: 4/27/2015 Services

Date Mailed: 4/27/2015

CGF/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

