STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 15-002996 Issue No.: 2009

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

April 02, 2015 Genesee-District 6

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a 3-way telephone hearing was held on April 2, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant was represented by of Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA) and/or State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On October 1, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for MA/Retro-MA.
- 2. On December 30, 2014, the Department's Medical Review Team (MRT) denied disability status. (Dept. Ex A, pp 104-105).
- Claimant's appeal hearing disputing these decisions was held on April 2, 2015.
- 4. At hearing, Claimant's Authorized Representative testified that Claimant had been approved for SSI-disability.
- 5. The Authorized Hearing Representative provided this presiding Administrative Law Judge with verification of the Fully Favorable Social Security Administration's (SSA's) decision finding Claimant was disabled with a benefit entitlement effective July 12, 2013, which is before Claimant filed his disputed MA/Retro-MA application (See Finding of Fact #1 above; Claimant Ex. B).

6. The Department stipulated on the record during the hearing that Claimant's SSA approval establishes a disability allowance for MA/Retro-MA eligibility purposes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

In the present case, the SSA's disability allowance, received while Claimant's appeal was pending, currently establishes Claimant is disabled and has been disabled at all times relevant to his October 1, 2013, MA/Retro-MA application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department erred in determining Claimant is not disabled.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The Department shall approve MA/Retro-MA benefits back to July, 2013, for Claimant as long as he is otherwise eligible to receive them.
- 2. Departmental review of Claimant's medical condition is not necessary as long as his SSA disability status continues.

It is SO ORDERED.

Vicki Armstrong

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/2/2015

Date Mailed: 4/2/2015

VLA/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

