

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 15-002866  
Issue No.: 2003  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 23, 2015  
County: Macomb (20)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 23, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant, [REDACTED], Claimant's mother, testified on behalf of Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) included [REDACTED], medical contact worker.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHHS properly terminated Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On an unspecified date before [REDACTED], Claimant changed address and reported her new address to DHHS.
2. On [REDACTED], DHHS mailed a Redetermination to Claimant's old address.
3. Claimant neither received the Redetermination nor returned it to DHHS.
4. On an unspecified date, DHS terminated Claimant's MA eligibility, effective March 2015, based on Claimant's failure to return redetermination documents.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. DHHS (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k. DHHS policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a termination of MA eligibility, effective March 2015. It was not disputed that DHHS terminated Claimant's eligibility due to Claimant's failure to return redetermination documents.

For all programs, Bridges generates a redetermination packet to the client three days prior to the negative action cut-off date in the month before the redetermination is due. BEM 210 (July 2014), p. 6. The packet is sent to the mailing address in Bridges. *Id.* Redetermination forms include a Redetermination DHHS-1010. *Id.* Benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a renewal is completed and a new benefit period is certified. *Id.*, p. 2.

Claimant testified that she never received the redetermination documents in the mail. DHHS testimony conceded Claimant did not receive redetermination documents because the documents were inexplicably mailed to an old address of Claimant's. During the hearing, DHHS accepted full blame for mailing Claimant's redetermination documents to Claimant's outdated address.

It is found that DHHS failed to mail redetermination documents to Claimant's proper address. Accordingly, the MA termination related to Claimant's failure to return redetermination documents was improper.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHHS improperly terminated Claimant's MA eligibility. It is ordered that DHHS perform the following actions:

- (1) initiate processing of Claimant's ongoing MA eligibility, effective March 2015, subject to the finding that DHHS has yet to properly mail redetermination documents to Claimant; and
- (2) mail redetermination documents to Claimant's most recently reported address;

The actions taken by DHHS are **REVERSED**.



---

**Christian Gardocki**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **4/24/2015**

Date Mailed: **4/24/2015**

CG / hw

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

