# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 15-002660 Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 25, 2015

County: WAYNE-15 (GREYDALE)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez** 

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 25, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's benefits for the Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant receives FAP benefits.
- 2. Claimant alleges that her FAP benefits have been calculated incorrectly.
- 3. Claimant did not receive unemployment benefits until December 10, 2014.
- 4. On February 13, 2015, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

With regards to the FAP program, a client may request a hearing at any time to protest the current level of benefits. BAM 600, pg. 5 (2015). Claimant's must also submit a request for hearing within 90 days of the action they wish reviewed. BAM 600. As such, a client has 90 days to request a hearing with regard to the current level of benefits.

Therefore, this decision can only consider FAP levels 90 days prior to the Claimant's request for hearing.

In the current case, the Department has failed to submit an FAP budget, thus making it impossible to make a determination as to whether Claimant's FAP benefits were correctly calculated. Therefore, the Department has failed to meet its burden of proof in showing that Claimant's FAP budget was processed correctly. Additionally, the Department testified that unemployment benefits were used to calculate Claimant's current FAP benefits; however, Claimant submitted substantial evidence showing that no unemployment benefits were received until December 10, 2014. As such, even if budgets were submitted, it would appear that the FAP budgets used were calculated incorrectly.

Therefore, Claimant's FAP benefits should be recalculated retroactive to November 15, 2014, which would be 90 days prior to Claimant's hearing request.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's FAP benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget, retroactive to November 15, 2014; Claimant's income must be changed to reflect that no unemployment benefits were received until December 10, 2014.

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/31/2015

Date Mailed: 3/31/2015

RJC / tm

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

