STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 15-002121 Issue No.: 1008

Issue No.: 10 Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 18, 2015 County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 18, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included , specialist, and , manager.

<u>ISSUE</u>

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's eligibility for Family Independence Program (FIP) due to Claimant's alleged noncompliance with Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) participation.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient.
- Claimant was not an ongoing PATH participant.
- 3. On _____, DHS mailed Claimant a PATH Appointment Notice (Exhibits 1) to attend PATH orientation on ____.
- 4. On Claimant failed to attend PATH orientation.
- 5. On _____, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance (Exhibits 2-3) informing Claimant of a triage appointment for _____.

- 6. On _____, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (Exhibits 4-5) informing Claimant of an initiated termination of Claimant's FIP eligibility, effective 2/2015, including imposition of an employment-related sanction.
- 7. On _____, Claimant informed DHS that he missed his orientation date because he went to his physician for treatment of flu symptoms.
- 8. Claimant failed to present proof of his physician appointment.
- 9. DHS subsequently determined that Claimant failed to verify his claim of good cause.
- 10. On _____, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FIP termination and employment-related sanction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) and Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a FIP benefit termination. It was not disputed that DHS terminated Claimant's FIP eligibility due to noncompliance by Claimant with PATH participation.

Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A (1/2015), p. 1. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. *Id.* PATH is administered by the Workforce Development Agency, State of Michigan through the Michigan one-stop service centers. *Id.* PATH serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. *Id.*

All WEIs, unless temporarily deferred, must engage in employment that pays at least state minimum wage or participate in employment services. *Id.*, p. 4.

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. BEM 233A (10/2014), p. 2. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause (see *Id*, pp. 2-3):

- Appear and participate with the work participation program or other employment service provider.
- Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process.
- Develop a FSSP.
- Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
- Participate in required activity.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/ or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and non-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care, and disqualified aliens), who fail, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. *Id.*, p. 1. Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following: delay in eligibility at application, ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period), case closure for a minimum period depending on the number of previous non-compliance penalties. *Id.*

It was not disputed that DHS informed Claimant of an obligation to attend PATH orientation on . It was not disputed that Claimant failed to attend the scheduled orientation appointment. Failing to attend PATH orientation is an appropriate basis for a determination of non-compliance. It is found that DHS established a basis to impose noncompliance penalties against Claimant.

WEIs will not be terminated from a WPP program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. *Id.*, p. 9. On the night that the one-stop service center case manager places the participant into triage activity, OSMIS will interface to Bridges a noncooperation notice. *Id.*, p. 10. Bridges will generate a triage appointment at the local office as well as generating the

DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance, which is sent to the client. *Id.*, pp. 10-11. The following information will be populated on the DHS-2444: the date of the non-compliance, the reason the client was determined to be non-compliant and the penalty duration. *Id.*, p. 11. DHS is to determine good cause during triage and prior to the negative action effective date. *Id.*

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. *Id.*, p. 3. Good cause includes any of the following: employment for 40 hours/week, physically or mentally unfit, illness or injury, reasonable accommodation, no child care, no transportation, illegal activities, discrimination, unplanned event or factor, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. *Id*, pp. 3-6. Good cause must be verified and provided prior to the end of the negative action period and can be based on information already on file with the DHS or PATH. *Id.*, p. 11. If the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, DHS is to reinstate benefits. *Id.*, p. 13.

As a personal practice, the scrutiny given to the claim of good cause is inversely related to the severity of the non-compliance. Claimant's case involves only one missed day of PATH attendance. Thus liberal scrutiny will be given to Claimant's excuse.

Claimant attended his scheduled triage and asserted that he did not attend PATH because he had the flu. Claimant also informed DHS that he went to see his physician on the date of orientation. In response, DHS reasonably gave Claimant an unspecified additional amount of time to provide a physician note to verify his claim of good cause. After DHS informed Claimant of a requirement to submit a physician note, Claimant amended his story. Claimant maintained he had the flu but only called his physician on the date of orientation; Claimant also stated that his physician would not provide a written excuse for Claimant's alleged telephone call.

Claimant's credibility was severely damaged by his amendment of good cause details. Worse for Claimant, Claimant failed to present any documentation of good cause, either before case closure or during the hearing. It is debatably overly harsh that Claimant is subject to a 6 month FIP employment-related activity penalty for one missed PATH appointment. Based on the presented evidence, the penalty is appropriate.

It is found that Claimant failed to establish good cause for PATH noncompliance. Accordingly, it is found that DHS properly terminated Claimant's FIP eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly terminated Claimant's FIP eligibility, effective 2/2015. The actions taken by DHS are **AFFIRMED**.

Christin Dordock

Christian Gardocki

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/27/2015

Date Mailed: 3/27/2015

CG / hw

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

