STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 15-002018 Issue No.: 2004

Case No.:

Hearing Date: April 02, 2015

County: WAYNE-DISTRICT 57

(CONNER)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 2, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) Hearings Coordinator from Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department or DHS) included Assistant Payment Worker/Eligibility Specialist; and Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's retroactive Medical Assistance (MA) application for April 2013?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 12, 2013, the authorized representative (AR who is also the AHR in this case) applied for MA benefits on behalf of the Claimant, retroactive to April 2013. See Exhibit 1, pp. 2-7.
- 2. Claimant had active Adult Medical Program (AMP) coverage for April 2013. See Exhibit 1, p. 10. Claimant's Medicaid Eligibility indicated her coverage as the Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) for April 2013; however, this was an improper title for the active coverage as HMP did not exist at the time. See Exhibit 1, p. 10.

- 3. The Department indicated that Claimant's retroactive period of April 2013 was denied; however, it failed to present any evidence that a denial notice (i.e., Notice of Case Action) was sent to Claimant and the AHR notifying them of the denial.
- 4. On February 3, 2015, Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's failure to process the MA retroactive application. See Exhibit 1, pp. 2-3.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

As a preliminary matter, Claimant's AHR only disputed the retroactive MA application for April 2013.

The Department determines eligibility and benefit amounts for all requested programs. BAM 105 (March 2013), p. 11.

Any person, regardless of age, or their authorized representative (AR) may apply for assistance. BAM 110 (January 2013), p. 4. The AR assumes all the responsibilities of a client. BAM 110, p. 7. AR's must give their name, address, and title or relationship to the client. BAM 110, p. 8. To establish the client's eligibility, they must be familiar enough with the circumstances to complete the application, answer interview questions, and collect needed verifications. BAM 110, p. 8. The Department must register a signed application or filing form, with the minimum information, within one workday for all requested programs. BAM 110, p. 16.

The DHS-3243, Retroactive Medicaid Application, is used along with the DHS-1171, DHS-4574 or DCH-0373 for retro MA applications. BAM 110, p. 4. Only one DHS-3243 is needed to apply for one, two or three retro MA months. BAM 110, p. 4.

The standard of promptness (SOP) begins the date the department receives an application/filing form, with minimum required information. BAM 115 (May 2013), p. 12. For MA applications, the Department certifies the program approval or denial of the application within 45 days. BAM 115, p. 13. However, there are exceptions to these

benefit programs for processing times, which are described as follows: 90 days for MA categories in which disability is an eligibility factor. BAM 115, p. 13. The SOP can be extended 60 days from the date of deferral by the Medical Review Team (MRT). BAM 115, p. 13.

Retro MA coverage is available back to the first day of the third calendar month prior to the criteria listed in BAM 115. BAM 115, p. 9. A person might be eligible for one, two or all three retro months, even if not currently eligible. BAM 115, p. 10. A separate determination of eligibility must be made for each of the three retro months. BAM 115, p. 10.

If the group is ineligible or refuses to cooperate in the application process, the Department certifies the denial within the standard of promptness and sends a DHS-1605, Client Notice, or the DHS-1150, Application Eligibility Notice, with the denial reason(s). BAM 115, p. 18. The Department sends the DHS-1605 detailing the approval at certification of program opening. BAM 115, p. 19.

In this case, the Department indicated that it did process the April 2013 benefit month and that it was denied. However, the Department failed to present any evidence that a denial notice (e.g., Notice of Case Action) was sent to Claimant and the AHR notifying them of the denial for the retroactive period. In fact, Claimant's AHR testified that they did not receive a decision notice relating to the retroactive application. The Department indicated that it sent Notice of Case Actions in June of 2013; however, those notices failed to address the retroactive period.

Based on the foregoing information and evidence, the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it properly processed Claimant's MA retroactive application for April 2013. See BAM 105, p. 11; BAM 110, pp. 4-16; and BAM 115, pp. 9-19. As stated above, the Department failed to present any evidence that it processed Claimant's retroactive MA application in accordance with Department policy. Thus, the Department will (re)-register and (re)process Claimant's MA retroactive application for April 2013 in accordance with Department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it properly processed Claimant's MA retroactive application for April 2013, in accordance with Department policy.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is **REVERSED**.

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Initiate (re)-registration and (re)processing of Claimant's MA retroactive application for April 2013;
- 2. Begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any MA benefits she was eligible to receive but did not for April 2013; and
- 3. Begin notifying Claimant and Claimant's AHR of its MA decision in accordance with Department policy.

Eric Feldman

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/2/2015

Date Mailed: 4/2/2015

EJF/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

