## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-018788 3007 March 30, 2015 Wayne (31-Grandmont)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J.	Bennane	
HEARING D	<u>ECISION</u>	
Upon a hearing request by the Department establish an overissuance (OI) of benefits the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursua seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, ar 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Participants on behalf of the Department	o Respondent, this rant to MCL 400.9, 400 and in accordance wit 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 March 30, 2015, fro included	matter is before the 0.43a, and 24.201, et ch 7 CFR 273.15 to 5 CFR 205.10. After m Detroit, Michigan.
Respondent did not appear. This matter and due notice having been provided to Respondent's absence in accordance with Administrative Manual (BAM) 725 (July, 2014)	Respondent, the he Department of Huma	earing was held in
☐ Participants on behalf of Respondent include	ded .	
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did Respondent receive an OI of ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP) ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?		Assistance (SDA) nent and Care (CDC)
FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
<ol> <li>Respondent was a recipient of  FIP the Department.</li> </ol>	⊠ FAP □ SDA □	CDC benefits from

2.	The Department alleges Respondent received a  ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC  OI during the period November 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011, due to ☐ the Department's error ☐ Respondent's error.		
3.	The Department alleges that Respondent received a \$2,024.00 OI that is still due and owing to the Department.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Adm	artment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges hinistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
esta imple (forn MCL	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is blished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is emented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department nerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 3001 to .3015.		
after	Department's evidence shows that Respondent received \$2,024.00 in FAP benefits the Department was notified that Respondent was receiving benefits from the al Security Administration that the Department failed to budget.		
of La	Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions aw, finds that the Department		
DECISION AND ORDER			
Acco	ordingly, the Department is		
F	AFFIRMED. REVERSED. AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to and REVERSED IN PART with respect o .		

☐ The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a \$2,024.00 OI in accordance with Department policy.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Interim Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/7/2015

Date Mailed: 4/7/2015

MJB / pf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

