STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

14-015104 2001

February 09, 2015 Wayne-District 55

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 9, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **______**, Eligibility Specialist/Medical Contact Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate the amount of Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA benefits.
- 2. Claimant was previously receiving MA benefits under the Ad-Care MA program. (Exhibit 1)
- 3. Claimant's MA coverage under the Ad-Care program was terminated effective October 31, 2014. (Exhibit 1)

- 4. On October 15, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing him that effective November 1, 2014, he was now approved for MA with a monthly deductible of \$1165. (Exhibit 2)
- 5. On October 24, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the amount of his deductible.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's calculation of his monthly MA deductible. At the hearing, the Department testified that on October 1, 2014, the type of social security benefit received by Claimant changed from SSI to RSDI and that based on the new RSDI amount, he was no longer income eligible for the Ad-Care program. The Department testified that effective November 1, 2014, Claimant was eligible for MA under the G2S program, but subject to a deductible based on his unearned income amount. (Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2).

Additionally, deductible is a process which allows a client with excess income to become eligible for Group 2 MA if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. BEM 545 (July 2013), p 10. Individuals are eligible for Group 2 MA coverage when net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) does not exceed the applicable Group 2 MA protected income levels (PIL), which is based on shelter area and fiscal group size. BEM 105 (October 2014), pp. 1-2; BEM 166 (July 2013), pp 1-2; BEM 544 (July 2013), p 1; RFT 240 (December 2013), p 1. The PIL is a set allowance for non-medical need items such as shelter, food and incidental expenses. BEM 544, p. 1. The monthly PIL for an MA group of one (Claimant) living in Wayne County is \$375 per month. RFT 200 (December 2013), p 1; RFT 240, p 1. Thus, if Claimant's net monthly income is in excess of the \$375, he may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program, with the deductible being equal to the amount that his monthly income exceeds \$375. BEM 545, p 1.

At the hearing, the Department produced a SSI-Related MA budget showing how the deductible in Claimant's case was calculated. (Exhibit 3). The Department testified that it calculating Claimant's unearned income, it considered his monthly Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits in the amount of \$1560. Claimant verified the amounts used by the Department and the Department presented a SOLQ in support of its testimony. (Exhibit 4). The Department properly subtracted the \$20 unearned income general exclusion and determined that Claimant's total net income for MA purposes was \$1540, as there was no evidence presented that Claimant was entitled to any other deductions to income. BEM 530 (January 2014), pp 1-4; BEM 541 (January 2014), p 3.

Because Claimant's net income of \$1540 for MA purposes exceeds the monthly protected income level of \$375 by \$1165, the Department properly calculated Claimant's monthly \$1165 MA deductible in accordance with Department policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when determined that Claimant was eligible for MA under the G2S program with a monthly deductible of \$1165.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Zamab Raydown Zainab Baydown Taw Judge

for Nick Lyon, Interim Director **Department of Human Services**

Date Signed: 3/5/2015

Date Mailed: 3/5/2015

ZB / tlf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

CC:	