STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-013214 Issue No.: 3006

Issue No.: 30 Case No.:

Hearing Date: County:

March 23, 2015 KALAMAZOO

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an overissuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, 400.43a, and 24.201, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, and in accordance with 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephones held on March 23, 2015, from Detroit Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department included

Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in Respondent's absence in accordance with Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 725 (August 1, 2010), pp. 16.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did Respondent receive an OI of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department.
- 2. The Department alleges Respondent received a FAP OI during the period due to Respondent's error due to not reporting a change in her FAP group size.
- 3. The Department alleges that Respondent received a \$744 OI that is still due and owing to the Department.
- 4. The Respondent requested a hearing on department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

When a client group receives more benefits than it is entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700 (May 1, 2014) p. 1.

Additionally, in this case the Department sought a recoupment of \$744 in FAP benefits it alleged were overissued due to client error and the Claimant not reporting a change in group size. Only two overissuance budgets calculating the overissuance for the overissuance period were submitted. January 2014, and February 2014. These budgets were reviewed at the hearing and were incorrect as the Department used the incorrect earned income amount. The earned income amount used by the Department was \$78,000 as the gross income ineligible for earned income deduction (Exhibit 1 p. 15-18). The wage match information did not support this amount as the monthly income amount (Exhibit 1 p. 20-24). In addition, no other budgets for the rest of the alleged overissuance period were provided. Based upon the proof presented, the Department is not entitled to a finding of overissuance in any amount as the evidence presented failed to prove its allegations and the Department did not meet its burden of proof to establish an overissuance.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did not establish a FAP benefit OI to Respondent totaling \$744.

DECISION AND ORDER

Ty M. Serris)

Accordingly, the Department is REVERSED.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services Date Signed: 4/17/2015

Date Mailed: 4/17/2015

LMF / hw

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

