STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 15-000922 4002

Issue No.: Case No.:

February 25, 2015

Hearing Date:

County: KENT-DISTRICT 1 (FRANKLIN)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 25, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included himself and his Case Manager from Pinerest, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included AP Supervisor

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's November 21, 2014, State Disability Assistance Program application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On November 21, 2014, Claimant submitted a State Disability Assistance Program application.
- On November 24, 2014, Claimant was sent a Medical Determination Verification 2. Checklist (DHS-3503-MRT). The additional documentation requested was due by December 4, 2014.
- 3. On December 26, 2014, the Department had not received the required, additional documentation. Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which stated his application was denied.
- 4. On January 12, 2015, Claimant submitted a hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

Claimant does not dispute that all the documentation was not submitted before the Department denied the application. Ms. Lenhart testified that there had been some confusion in December because a letter came which said he was denied and then another letter came asking for additional documentation. Neither Ms. Lenhart nor Claimant could remember all the details of the letters but Ms. Lenhart testified she thought they were both from DHS. The Department's records do not show any other correspondence to Claimant than the November 24, 2014 Medical Determination Verification Checklist (DHS-3503-MRT) and the December 26, 2014 Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605).

It is also noted that the documentation was due by December 4, 2014 but the Department did not deny the application until December 26, 2014. Even though Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 130 Verifications and Collateral Contacts (2014) does not require it, Claimant was given additional time to submit the required documentation.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's November 21, 2014, State Disability Assistance Program application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Gary Heisler Administrative Law Judge

for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/4/2015

Date Mailed: 3/4/2015

GFH/hj

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

