### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:15Issue No.:20Case No.:15Hearing Date:MaCounty:W

15-002062 2001;3000

March 16, 2015 Wayne-District 15

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 16, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **\_\_\_\_\_\_**, Family Independence Specialist.

# ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. In January 2015, Claimant submitted a change report, informing the Department of her change of address and the ending of her income from (UCB).
- 3. On January 22, 2015, the Department sent Claimant a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing her that effective March 1, 2015, she was eligible for MA benefits with a monthly deductible of \$265. (Exhibit A)
- 4. On February 9, 2015, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

# <u>FAP</u>

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The hearing was requested to dispute the Department's action taken with respect to Claimant's FAP benefits. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, Claimant testified that she understood the actions taken by the Department and did not wish to proceed with the hearing concerning her FAP benefits. Claimant stated that the issues with her FAP case that she had requested a hearing on had been corrected and confirmed that no promises were made to her in exchange for her not wanting to continue with the hearing. The Request for Hearing was withdrawn. The Department agreed to the dismissal of the hearing request. Pursuant to the withdrawal of the hearing request filed in this matter, the Request for Hearing is, hereby, **DISMISSED**.

# <u>MA</u>

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions with respect to the Department transferring her MA case to a program subject to a deductible. At the hearing, Claimant testified that she was receiving MA under the transitional MA program and that in January 2015 she submitted a change report informing the Department that her income from UCB had ended and that she was no longer earning income.

The Department testified that the transfer of Claimant's full MA eligibility to a program that made her subject to a monthly deductible was improper, as Claimant was not earning any income and should not have been subject to a deductible. The Department testified that a help desk ticket was needed in order to resolve the issue with Claimant's MA coverage.

BEM 105 provides that persons may qualify under more than one MA category and federal law gives persons the right to the most beneficial category which is considered the category that results in eligibility or the least amount of excess income. BEM 105 (October 2014), p.2. The Department must consider all the MA category options in order for the client's right of choice to be meaningful. BEM 105, p.2.

Therefore, the Department has failed to satisfy its burden in establishing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed Claimant's MA benefits and determined that she was subject to a \$265 deductible effective March 1, 2015. As such, the Department is to determine Claimant's eligibility for the most beneficial MA program, effective March 1, 2015.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the hearing request with respect to FAP is **DISMISSED** and the Department's MA decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Determine Claimant's eligibility for MA under the most beneficial category for 1. March 1, 2015, ongoing;
- Issue MA coverage to Claimant from March 1, 2015, ongoing, in accordance with 2. Department policy; and
- Notify Claimant in writing of the Department's decision. 3.

Zamab Raydown Zainab Baydown Tainal aw Judge

for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/19/2015

Date Mailed: 3/19/2015

#### ZB / tlf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

CC:	