STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:15Issue No.:20Case No.:1Hearing Date:MaCounty:Wa

15-001436 2001

March 16, 2015 Wayne-District 41

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 16, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **______**, Hearing Liaison/Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA benefits.
- 2. On December 16, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination to complete and return by January 2, 2015.
- 3. Claimant did not complete and return the redetermination.
- 4. On January 16, 2015, the Department sent Claimant a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice notifying him that his MA case would close February 1, 2015 because he failed to return the redetermination.
- 5. On January 27, 2015, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The Department requires recipients of state benefits to complete redeterminations at least once every twelve months. BAM 210 (July 2014), p. 1. Medical assistance benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p. 2.

The Department established that it sent Claimant an MA redetermination form for completion on December 16, 2014 but testified that it did not receive a completed form prior to the expiration of the MA certification period on January 31, 2014. The January 16, 2015 Health Care Coverage Determination Notice notified Claimant that his MA case was closing February 1, 2015 because he had failed to return a completed redetermination.

At the hearing, Claimant acknowledged receiving the redetermination but testified that he did not get the document timely because he was in and out of his home while he helped care for a sick relative. Although he testified that he may have turned the document in before January 31, 2015, his testimony was uncertain and inconsistent with his statement in his hearing request that he did not see the redetermination "until days after" and insufficient to counter the Department's testimony that it did not receive a completed redetermination.

In light of the evidence that the Department timely sent Claimant the redetermination and Claimant did not return the completed redetermination, the Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's MA case for failure to complete a redetermination.

Page 3 of 4 15-001436 ACE

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

24

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/24/2015

Date Mailed: 3/24/2015

ACE / tlf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Page 4 of 4 15-001436 ACE

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

