

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

████████████████████  
████████████████████  
██████████  
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 14-018975  
Issue No.: 2001  
Case No.: ██████████  
Hearing Date: March 09, 2015  
County: Wayne-District 19

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 9, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████ ██████████, Hearings Facilitator.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits under the Medicare Savings Program (MSP)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MSP benefits.
2. In connection with a Redetermination, Claimant's eligibility for MSP benefits was reviewed. (Exhibit A)
3. On July 15, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Redetermination for her MSP case that she was required to complete and return to the Department by August 4, 2014. (Exhibit A)
4. On August 18, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing her that effective September 1, 2014, she would be

ineligible for MSP benefits based on a failure to return the completed redetermination. (Exhibit B)

5. On August 25, 2014, the Department received Claimant's completed Redetermination.
6. On September 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice reinstating her MSP case and informing that she was eligible for MSP benefits effective September 1, 2014, ongoing. (Exhibit C)
7. There was a lapse in Claimant's MSP benefits from September 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014, as the Department did not begin making payments towards Claimant's Medicare premium until November 1, 2014. (Exhibit D)
8. On December 15, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the Department's actions.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Medicare Savings Programs (MSP) are SSI-related MA categories. BEM 165 (April 2014), p. 1. The Department must periodically redetermine an individual's eligibility for active programs. The redetermination process includes a thorough review of all eligibility factors. BAM 210 (July 2014), p 1. A client must complete a redetermination at least every 12 months in order for the Department to determine the client's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 210, p. 1. The Department allows clients a full 10 calendar days from the date the verification is requested (date of request is not counted) to provide all documents and information for MA redeterminations. BAM 210, p.14. For MA cases, benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p. 2. The Department will provide the client with timely notice of the negative action by sending a Notice of Case Action if the time limit is not met. BAM 210, p.14.

In this case, Claimant requested a hearing concerning a lapse in MSP benefits from September 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014. The evidence presented established that although Claimant did not return the completed Redetermination by the initial August 4, 2014, due date, Claimant submitted the Redetermination on August 25, 2014, prior to the end of the benefit and certification period. On September 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing her that she was eligible for MSP benefits for September 1, 2014, ongoing; however, the Department acknowledged that Claimant's MSP coverage did not begin until November 1, 2014. (Exhibit C and Exhibit D). The Department remained unable to explain why there was a lapse in Claimant's MSP benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed Claimant's MSP benefits.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's MSP benefits effective September 1, 2014;
2. Issue supplements to Claimant for MSP benefits for September 1, 2014, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy; and
3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision.



---

**Zainab Baydoun**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Interim Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **3/13/2015**

Date Mailed: **3/13/2015**

ZB / tlf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

CC: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]