

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 14-018502  
Issue No.: 2009  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: February 3, 2015  
County: Saginaw

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Vicki Armstrong

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a 3-way telephone hearing was held on February 3, 2015, from Saginaw, Michigan. Claimant, represented by [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearing Facilitator [REDACTED] and Assistance Payment Supervisor [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA) programs.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On June 1, 2012, Claimant filed an application for MA/Retro-MA benefits alleging disability.
2. On June 19, 2014, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA/Retro-MA for lack of duration.
3. On June 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant notice that her application for MA/Retro-MA had been denied.
4. On September 16, 2014, Claimant's Authorized Representative filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
5. Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Security disability benefits at the time of the hearing.
6. Claimant is a 28 year old woman born on [REDACTED].

7. Claimant is 5'2" tall and weighs 308 lbs.
8. Claimant does not have a drug, alcohol or nicotine history.
9. Claimant has a driver's license and is unable to drive due to her anxiety.
10. Claimant has a high school education.
11. Claimant last worked in 2014 as a home health aide.
12. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), diabetes mellitus insulin dependent, clotting disorder with factor 5 Leiden deficiency, morbid obesity, acute pancreatitis, polycystic ovarian syndrome, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), hypoglycemia, deep vein thrombosis (DVT), hypertension, thrombocytopenia, migraines, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), acute metrorrhagia, dysuria, acute cephalgia, bipolar disorder, borderline personality traits, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and anxiety.
13. Claimant's impairments have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for a period of twelve months or longer.
14. Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning her impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflect an individual who is so impaired as to be incapable of engaging in any substantial gainful activity on a regular and continuing basis.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance

claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

. . . the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

. . . We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further. 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application Claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

. . . You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as ultrasounds, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). The medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e). You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or

psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work). 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1, 12.00(C).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, Claimant is not ineligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Claimant has been diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), diabetes mellitus insulin dependent, clotting disorder with factor 5 Leiden deficiency, morbid obesity, acute pancreatitis, polycystic ovarian syndrome, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), hypoglycemia, deep vein thrombosis (DVT), hypertension, thrombocytopenia, migraines, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), acute metrorrhagia, dysuria, acute cephalgia, bipolar disorder, borderline personality traits, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and anxiety.

In support of her claim, older records from as early as 2001 were submitted, which document treatment/diagnosis for blood clotting tendency, suicidal attempted, homicidal ideation, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus, lupus, polycystic ovarian syndrome, diabetes, bipolar disorder, borderline personality traits, gastroesophageal reflux disease, deep venous thrombosis, migraines, and hypertension.

On [REDACTED], Claimant presented to the emergency department with swelling in her right leg. Doppler of her right leg showed an occluded thrombus in the posterior tibial vein. She was started on Lovenox. She was discharged on [REDACTED] with a diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis, stable.

Claimant presented to the emergency department on [REDACTED], complaining of abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting. Claimant was admitted to the hospital and discharged on [REDACTED] with a diagnosis of acute pancreatitis, improved.

On [REDACTED], Claimant met with her psychiatrist. Claimant said she is not doing well. She confronted her biological mother recently. It was difficult for her, but she handled that fairly well. She said her anxiety is severe. She cannot sit still. She is easily irritated. She weeps easily. She finds herself rocking back and forth in the chair. She has developed a fear that people are talking about her behind her back. She has poor sleep and admits that she fights sleep because it is frightening at night. She is

beginning therapy at the [REDACTED] and has an appointment there tomorrow. Her hygiene is adequate. She has a downcast gaze. She is tearful at times. Mood is depressed and anxious. Thoughts are logical and goal directed. She reports some suspiciousness, but no paranoia was evident. Diagnosis: Posttraumatic stress disorder and bipolar affective disorder.

Ruling any ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f). Claimant's past work history is that of a home health aide and as such, Claimant would be unable to perform the duties associated with her past work. Likewise, Claimant's past work skills will not transfer to other occupations. Accordingly, Step 5 of the sequential analysis is required.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once Claimant reaches Step 5 in the sequential review process, Claimant has already established a *prima facie* case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 735 F2d 962 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1984). At that point, the burden of proof is on the state to prove by substantial evidence that Claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity.

The medical information indicates that Claimant suffers from systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), diabetes mellitus insulin dependent, clotting disorder with factor 5 Leiden deficiency, morbid obesity, acute pancreatitis, polycystic ovarian syndrome, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), hypoglycemia, deep vein thrombosis (DVT), hypertension, thrombocytopenia, migraines, methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), acute metrorrhagia, dysuria, acute cephalgia, bipolar disorder, borderline personality traits, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and anxiety.

According to Claimant's voluminous emergency department medical records, she has had lupus for six years and is on chronic Norco to manage the pain and nausea. She also has factor V Leiden and is being followed by a hematologist oncologist for this. She is also on steroids for her lupus and Xarelto for her history of blood clots.

Claimant credibly testified that she has a limited tolerance for physical activities and is unable to stand or sit for lengthy periods of time. She stated she is unable to leave the house due to her anxiety and paranoia. She also said there were days when she did not have the energy to get dressed and stays in her pajamas.

Claimant is 28 years old, with a high school education. Claimant's medical records are consistent with her testimony that she is unable to engage in even a full range of sedentary work on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P. Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; *Wilson v Heckler*, 743 F2d 216 (1986).


The Department has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes that Claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and that given Claimant's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the national economy which Claimant could perform despite Claimant's limitations. Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge concludes Claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA program.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

1. The Department shall process Claimant's June 1, 2012, MA/Retro-MA application, and shall award her all the benefits she may be entitled to receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
2. The Department shall review Claimant's medical condition for improvement in February, 2016, unless her Social Security Administration disability status is approved by that time.
3. The Department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

  
**Vicki Armstrong**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Interim Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **3/9/2015**

Date Mailed: **3/9/2015**

VLA/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

