STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-017522 Issue No.: 4009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 03, 2015 County: MECOSTA

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

Following the Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on March 3, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Claimant included his Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist,

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for the purpose of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On August 13, 2014, the Claimant applied for SDA.
- 2. On November 14, 2014, the Medical Review Team denied the Claimant's request.
- 3. On December 3, 2014, the Claimant submitted to the Department a request for hearing which also appointed his AHR.
- 4. The Claimant is 52 years old.
- 5. The Claimant completed education through a high school equivalency.
- 6. The Claimant has no employment experience.
- 7. The Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.

- 8. The Claimant suffers from hepatitis C, a back injury, arthritis in his shoulder, no feeling in his right hand, bad discs in his neck, hip problems, chronic depression, suicidal tendencies, posttraumatic stress disorder, learning disability, anxiety, sleep disorder, osteoporosis and arthritis in both feet.
- 9. The Claimant has significant limitations on physical activities involving sitting, standing, walking, bending, lifting, and stooping.
- 10. The Social Security Administration has determined that the Claimant is disabled with a disability onset date of August 13, 2014.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program purusant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per Bridges Eligibility Manual, Item 260. The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done, beginning August, 2014.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled under the SDA program as of August, 2014. Accordingly, the Department is hereby ORDERED to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done, beginning August, 2014.

Susanne E Hanis

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/9/2015

Date Mailed: 3/9/2015

SEH/sw

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

