## IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No.: | $14-016416$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No.: | 2001 |
| Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date: | February 23, 2015 |
| County: | MACOMB-DISTRICT 20 |

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

## HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250 ; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33 ; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 23, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Hearings Facilitator.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application due to excess income?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On Claimant applied for MA and Retroactive MA to the month
2. Claimant did not work for months following August of 2014.
3. The Department denied Claimant's MA application due to excess income based on Claimant's August 2014 income.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

BEM 500 (7/2014), p. 3, instructs that income means a payment received by an individual. All income that is not specifically excluded is counted. BEM 530 (1/2014), p. 3, instructs the Department to estimate income likely to be received.

In the present case, on $\square$, Claimant applied for retroactive MA to August of 2014. On , the Department denied MA eligibility for the month of August of 2014 based on a projection of Claimant's annual income, which projection used only Claimant's pay stubs for the month of August of 2014. However, the Department was aware at the time of the processing of the application that Respondent was not working following the month of August of 2014.

Based on the above discussion, it is found that the Department did not estimate income likely to be received, as instructed in BEM 530, as the Department projected an annual income based on the August sampling alone, when the Department was aware at the time of the processing of the application that Claimant received less income in the months following August of 2014.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's MA retroactive application for the month of August of 2014.

## DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.
THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Re-register and reprocess Claimant's Retroactive MA application of for the month of August of 2014.
2. Re-determine Claimant's annual income based on information known to the Department, such as lack of income following the month of August of 2014.
3. Notify Claimant in writing of the Department's determination of MA eligibility for the month of August of 2014.


Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: 3/3/2015
Date Mailed: 3/3/2015
SCB / hw

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS MAY order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be received in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request
If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139


