STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-014942

Issue No.: 4002

Case No.:

Hearing Date:

February 5, 2015

County: Oakland (02-Madison Hts)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 5, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant

Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of SDA benefits.
- 2. As part of a redetermination, Claimant's SDA benefits were terminated for failure to provide verifications.
- 3. On April 8, 2014, Claimant applied again for SDA benefits.
- 4. On October 20, 2014, Claimant reapplied for SDA benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

At the hearing, the Department provided ample evidence relating to Claimant's redetermination of her SDA benefits.

The verifications requested by the Department were sent to Claimant before she applied the second time on April 8, 2014. There is no evidence that the Department requested any verifications after Claimant reapplied on April 8, 2014.

Claimant did respond to the Department's requests for verifications after the Department began its redetermination of Claimant's SDA benefits.

Medical evidence was not requested from Claimant after she reapplied on April 8, 2014.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of

Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

□ acted in accordance with Department policy when it
 □ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to process Claimant's April 8, 2014, MA application.
 □ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

AFFIRMED.	
X REVERSED.	
AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to

□ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN
 ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS

HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reregister and process Claimant's April 8, 2014, MA application and supplement for any missed benefits if applicable.

Michael J. Bennane

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/2/2015

Date Mailed: 3/2/2015

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

