STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-35029

Issue No(s).: 3006

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 24, 2014

County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

HEARING DECISION

Upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an overissuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, 400.43a, and 24.201, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, and in accordance with 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 24, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department included Recoupment Specialist.

Participants on behalf of Respondent included

ISSUE

Did Respondent receive an OI of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department.
- 2. The Department alleges Respondent received a FAP OI during the period November 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013 due to Respondent's error.
- 3. The Department alleges that Respondent received a OI that is still due and owing to the Department.

4. On September 12, 2012, Respondent started employment with the

5. Respondent did not report this employment to the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Department alleges that Respondent did not report a change in income that occurred in September, 2012 until a review conducted in May, 2013, when Respondent reapplied for benefits.

After a review of the supplied evidence, the undersigned holds that the Department has supported its case by substantial evidence.

Exhibit 2 consists of employment records showing that Respondent started employment on September 12, 2012. Furthermore, these records show that Respondent had regular, significant, earnings with this employer through the date the employment records were requested.

Respondent presented no evidence showing that she had reported the change in income.

Furthermore, an application dated May 11, 2013 does not contain any information that Respondent was currently employed, even though employment records obtained directly by the Department show that the Respondent was employed at the time.

Therefore, given that the Respondent had a change of income on September 12, 2012, and given that the Respondent has presented no evidence that a change of income was reported, and given that Respondent did not report the change when given a chance on the May 11, 2013 application, the undersigned holds that the failure to process the change was not agency error, and any overissuance may be recouped as client error per procedures found in BAM 715.

Finally, after a review of the packet, the undersigned finds that the Department has submitted adequate evidence of the overissuance, and finds no errors in the recoupment budgets submitted by the Department.

Therefore, because there is adequate evidence of overissuance, the undersigned finds that the Department has met their burden of proof in showing an overissuance of benefits, and authorizes recoupment of the amount requested,

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did establish a FAP benefit OI to Respondent totaling

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a Control of inaccordance with Department policy.

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 25, 2015

Date Mailed: February 25, 2015

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

2014-35029/RJC

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/tm

