# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-019579

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 26, 2015

County: Washtenaw (District 20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl Johnson

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 26, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and his representative payee, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator and Eligibility Specialist

## **ISSUE**

Due to excess income, did the Department properly reduce Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant received FAP benefits.
- 2. On December 20, 2014, the Department notified Claimant that his FAP would be reduced beginning February 1, 2015 due to excess income.
- 3. On December 29, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Claimant receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI) of \$ per month, along with a supplement from the State of Michigan of \$ per month (which is paid quarterly). There was an overpayment of benefits that is being recouped through a reduction of his monthly SSI benefits in the amount of \$ As noted in BEM 503 (7/1/14) at page 32, "Bridges counts the gross amount of current SSA-issued SSI as unearned income. SSI amounts withheld to recoup overpayments due to an intentional program violation (IPV) as defined below are also included in the gross amount."

When the Department previously determined his FAP allotment, his income was not included. When the Department updated his budget to reflect his unearned income, his FAP was reduced from \$ to \$ per month.

At the time in question, Claimant was paying rent of per month, and that included his utilities. His FAP budget for that time period is shown in Exhibit A Pages 9-11. His updated budget, which reflects the change in his income, is shown at Pages 12-14. The testimony provided by the witnesses supports the updated budget.

Testimony was offered that Claimant is now paying more in rent. That might lead to further changes in his FAP, but that is not an issue that can be addressed in this hearing.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it reduced Claimant's FAP.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/27/2015

Date Mailed: 2/27/2015

DJ/jaf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

