STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-018932

Issue Nos.: 1001, 3001, 6001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 29, 2015

County: Wayne (55-Hamtramck)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 29, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's income for purposes of the Family Independence Program (FIP), Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Child and Development Care (CDC) benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On November 21, 2014, Claimant applied for FIP, CDC and FAP benefits.
- 2. On December 9, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a verification checklist requesting documentation.
- 3. On December 9, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing her that her FIP and CDC applications were denied. The FIP benefits were denied December 16, 2014, ongoing due to excess income. The CDC benefits were denied effective November 16, 2014, ongoing due to Claimant having no need for CDC.

- 4. On December 23, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action denying her FAP application effective November 21, 2014.
- 5. On December 23, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the negative actions of the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

FIP and CDC

At the outset of the hearing, Claimant stated she was no longer concerned with her FIP and her CDC benefits. Therefore, the hearing request for FIP and CDC are hereby DISMISSED.

FAP

Documentation provided by the Department shows that Claimant's FAP benefits were denied effective November 21, 2014.

The Department argued that Claimant failed to timely provide verifications. Claimant testified credibly that she provided the Department with the requested verifications December 18, 2014, one day before they were due per the Department's verification checklist. BAM 130 (October 2014).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it did not act in accordance with Department policy when it If alled to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP application. **DECISION AND ORDER** Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED. REVERSED. □ DISMISSED IN PART with respect to Claimant's FIP and CDC applications and
 □ REVERSED IN PART with respect to Department's denial of Claimant's FAP benefits. oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS **DECISION AND ORDER:** Register and process Claimant's FAP application of November 21, 2014, and supplement benefits from that date.

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/12/2015

Date Mailed: 2/12/2015

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

