STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.:
Issue No.:
Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 23, 2015

5001

County: MACOMB-12 (MT CLEMENS)

14-016441

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 23, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearing Facilitator and Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with shelter emergency?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On September 30, 2014, Claimant applied for SER assistance with regards to a shelter emergency. Exhibit B
- On October 2, 2014, the Department sent Claimant an SER Decision Notice which denied the Claimant's SER application because she did not have an emergency. Exhibit A
- 3. On November 10, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's SER decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly

known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049. Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, in this case the Claimant conceded at the hearing that when filing her SER application for rent assistance due to an eviction, the Claimant answered "NO" to the question on her application asking whether she had an eviction order or court summons regarding eviction. (A demand for possession for nonpayment of rent or a notice to quit is not sufficient.)

The policy governing the rent and relocation assistance requires that:

An eviction order or court summons or eviction order was issued which will result in the SER group becoming homeless. (A demand for possession non-payment of rent or a notice to quit is not sufficient.) ERM 303, pp.3. The policy does require a court summons, order, or judgment was issued which will result in the SER group becoming homeless. ERM 303, (10/1/13) pp3.

Thus, based upon the information it had at the time of the Claimant's application, and based upon the application answer provided to the Department, the Department correctly determined there was no emergency as the Claimant advised the Department that she did not have an eviction order or court summons regarding eviction.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied the Claimant's application for SER on the basis of no emergency. ERM 103 (10/1/13), pp.3.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's SER decision is **AFFIRMED**

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Ty M. Seris

Date Signed: 2/25/2015

Date Mailed: 2/26/2015

LMF/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

