# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-013104 Issue No.: 2002

Issue No.: 2002 Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 27, 2015 County: Grand Traverse

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10 After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 27, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and his authorized hearings representative.

Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10 After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 27, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10 After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 27, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge Participants on Department of Human

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing Medical Assistance (MA) recipient.
- 2. On August 12, 2014, the Department sent the Claimant a Redetermination (DHS-1010) with a due date of September 2, 2014.
- 3. On September 19, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close his Medical Assistance (MA) benefits as of October 1, 2014.
- 4. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on September 30, 2014, protesting the closure of his Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility and this includes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (October 1, 2014), p 5.

Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. The Department uses documents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. When documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (October 1, 2014), pp 1-9.

The Claimant was an ongoing Medical Assistance (MA) recipient when the Department initiated a routine review of his eligibility to receive continuing benefits. On September 19, 2014, the Department sent the Claimant a Redetermination (DHS-1010) with a due date of September 2, 2014. When the Department did not receive the Claimant's completed Redetermination form, on September 19, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close his Medical Assistance (MA) benefits as of October 1, 2014.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant failed to make a reasonable effort to provide the Department with the information necessary to determine his eligibility for continuing Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine his eligibility to receive benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Acting DHS Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/5/2015

Date Mailed: 2/5/2015

KS/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

