STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:1Issue No.:1Case No.:1Hearing Date:2County:1

14-011642 1006 January 06, 2015

ounty: MUSKEGON

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an overissuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, 400.43a, and 24.201, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, and in accordance with 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 6, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department included FIM and RS Participants on behalf of Respondent included herself. It is noted that this Debt Establishment hearing and an over-issuance recoupment hearing for Food Assistance Program benefits under Register # 14-016033 were conducted simultaneously.

ISSUE

Did Respondent receive a \$3,912 over-issuance of Family Independence Program benefits from May 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 18, 2009, Respondent/Claimant submitted a Food Assistance Program application. On the application Claimant reported that her son, received Retirement, Survivors, Disability Income benefits.
- 2. On April 30, 2009, Respondent/Claimant submitted a Family Independence Program application. On the application Claimant reported that her son, received Retirement, Survivors, Disability Income benefits.

- 3. March 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010 has been properly determined as the Food Assistance Program over-issuance period.
- 4. Due to the agency error of not including Retirement, Survivors, Disability Income in Respondent/Claimant's financial eligibility budget, she received a sover-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits from March 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010.
- 5. May 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010 has been properly determined as the Family Independence Program over-issuance period.
- 6. Due to the agency error of not including Retirement, Survivors, Disability Income in Respondent/Claimant's financial eligibility budget, she received a sover-issuance of Family Independence Program benefits from March 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010.
- 7. On September 10, 2014, Respondent/Claimant was sent a Notice of Over-Issuance (DHS-4358) for the Food Assistance Program over-issuance and a separate Notice of Over-Issuance (DHS-4358) for the Family Independence Program.
- 8. On September 15, 2014, Respondent/Claimant submitted a hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 725 Collection Actions states that when the client group or CDC provider receives more benefits than entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the over-issuance. Additionally, anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the over-issuance occurred is responsible for repayment of the over-issuance.

DHS requests a debt collection hearing when the grantee of an inactive program requests a hearing after receiving the DHS-4358B, Agency and Client Error Information

and Repayment Agreement. Active recipients are afforded their hearing rights automatically, but DHS must request hearings when the program is inactive.

The Department submitted an Assistance Application (DHS-1171) dated April 30, 2009 that Respondent submitted to the Department prior to the alleged over-issuance period. This application is sufficient to establish that Respondent was provided the recoupment responsibilities of receiving assistance.

Over-issuance Period

Agency Error

BAM 705 Agency Error Over-Issuances, states that the over-issuance period begins the first month (or pay period for CDC) benefit issuance exceeds the amount allowed by policy or 12 months before the date the over-issuance was referred to the RS, whichever 12 month period is later.

To determine the first month of the over-issuance period for changes reported timely and not acted on, Bridges allows time for:

The full standard of promptness (SOP) for change processing, per BAM 220.

The full negative action suspense period.

The over-issuance period ends the month (or pay period for CDC) before the benefit is corrected.

In this case, the error did not occur due to a reported change. Because Respondent reported the income on the application, the over-issuance period begins with the date of the application.

Over-issuance Amount

BAM 705 Agency Error Over-Issuances, states the over-issuance amount is the benefit amount the group actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. The Department presented a benefit summary showing that the State of Michigan issued a total of \$3,912 of Family Independence Program benefits to Respondent during the over-issuance period. In accordance with the over-issuance budgets submitted by the Department, Respondent was actually eligible for \$0.00 of Family Independence Program benefits. Respondent received a **\$** over-issuance of Family Independence Program benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did establish a **Family** Independence Program over-issuance to Respondent.

Page 4 of 5 14-011642 GFH

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department is **AFFIRMED**.

Day-Gary Heisler

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 1/14/2015

Date Mailed: 1/14/2015

GFH/hj

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

Page 5 of 5 14-011642 GFH

