STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-008205

Issue No.: 6007

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 01, 2014
County: October 01

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 1, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included herself. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES and Hearing Facilitator

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant Child Development and Care Program benefits between June 1 -14, 2014, on July 1, 2014?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Child Development and Care Program benefits.
- 2. On June 16, 2014, Claimant submitted verification of changes in her earned income for pay dates May 8, 15, 22, & 29, 2014. (Pages 23-26)
- 3. On July 1, 2014, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which stated Claimant was denied Child Development and Care Program benefits for June 1 -14, 2014.
- 4. On July 18, 2014, Claimant submitted a hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

During this hearing Claimant testified that her only issue for resolution was the June 1-14, 2014, Child Development and Care Program benefit period. Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 220 Case Actions at page 8, under effective date of change, states:

CDC Only

Act on reported changes as soon as possible, but act within the standard of promptness; see STANDARDS OF PROMPTNESS in this item. The day a reported change is acted on is not always the day the change must take effect.

Example: A client had prior pay periods certified for CDC. The client failed to report an increase in income. When the income is updated, eligibility determination and benefit calculation (EDBC) will re-run from when the change occurred. Bridges would then generate zero approved hours or an over-payment. The client would then be denied the pay period after the change occurred for excess income.

The example given in the policy is the exact situation which occurred in this case.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant Child Development and Care Program benefits between June 1 -14, 2014, on July 1, 2014.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Gary Heisler

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/28/2014

Date Mailed: 10/28/2014

GFH/hj

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

