STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-017966

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 12, 2015

County: WAYNE-17

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn Ferris

HEARING DECISION

ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce the Claimant's Food Assistance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is an ongoing recipient of Food Assistance Benefits.
- 2. The Department issued a Notice of Case Action on November 15, 2014 that reduced the Claimant's Food Assistance to per month. Exhibit 2
- 3. Previously, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action dated June 9, 2014, and determined that the Claimant's Food Assistance benefits were per month. Exhibit 1
- 4. On December 10, 2014, the Claimant requested a timely hearing protesting the reduction of his food assistance benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, in this case, the Department and the Claimant agreed that the total unearned income received by the Claimant was per month. The Department's budgets included rent expense of per month and the Claimant conceded that he did not pay any heat or utility expenses other than telephone. The Department properly included a telephone expense in the December 2014 food assistance budget. As explained at the hearing, a change in Department policy no longer allowed the Department to include a heat in utility standard expense of per month. After the policy change, unless a food assistance recipient actually paid for heat and utility expenses, they were no longer entitled to a allowance. Once the allowance was no longer included in the Claimant's food assistance calculation, it caused a reduction in the benefits. After review at the hearing of the budgets provided by the Department as evidence, it is determined that the budgets as submitted were correct and that the Claimant's Food Assistance was properly reduced. The change in Food Assistance policy is explained below.

Effective May 1, 2014. The Department was required when processing applications, redeterminations or when a change was reported clients were not automatically any longer allowed the heat automatic heat in utility standard in the amount of these changes in Department policy applied to all food assistance recipients equally. Thereafter, the Department began to gradually implement this change, which in this case resulted in the Claimant seeing a decrease in his FAP. Changes in the Department's policy caused in some cases a reduction in food assistance benefits after the removal of the automatic heat and utility standards previously applied to their food assistance budgets, as is the case in this hearing.

Changes to BEM 554 effective October 1, 2014 removed the automatic mandatory heat in utility standard. For all FAP groups that received the h/u standard on or before February 7, 2014, the h/u standard will remain in place for a period of five months after the month of their first redetermination or first

reported case change occurring on or after May 1, 2014. In order to continue receiving the h/u standard beyond the expiration of the five-month period, the FAP group must meet the requirements of the MANDATORY HEAT AND UTILITY STANDARD section. BEM 554 p. 15

FAP groups not eligible for the mandatory h/u standard who have other utility expenses or contribute to the cost of other utility expenses are eligible for the individual utility standards that the FAP group has responsibility to pay. BEM 554, p. 19. These include the non-heat electric standard (\$124 as of October 1, 2014), if the client has no heating/cooling expense but has a responsibility to pay for non-heat electricity; the water and/or sewer standard (currently \$77), if the client has no heating/cooling expense but has a responsibility to pay for water and/or sewer separate from rent/mortgage; the telephone standard (currently \$34), if the client has no heating/cooling expense but has a responsibility to pay for traditional land-line service, cell phone service, or voice-over-Internet protocol; the cooking fuel standard (currently \$47), if the client has no heating/cooling expense but has a responsibility to pay for cooking fuel separate from rent/mortgage; and the trash removal standard (currently \$21), if the client has no heating/cooling expense but has a responsibility to pay for trash removal separate from rent/mortgage. BEM 554, pp. 20-24; RFT 255, p. 1.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department the Department acted in accordance with Department policy found in BEM 554 when it reduced the Claimant's food assistance as the Claimant was no longer entitled to a heat in utility expense.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

Lynn Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Jam. Senis

Date Signed: 1/14/2015 Date Mailed: 1/14/2015

LMF/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

