STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-016774 3008 January 05, 2015 WAYNE-17
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert Chavez			
HEARING DECISION			
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 5, 2015, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC benefits.		
2.	On November 1, 2014, the Department	denied Claimant'	s application

due to excess income.

- 3. On October 1, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On November 21, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, on October 1, 2014, all FAP cases were rebudgeted to include actual utility costs; the heat and utility standard was only allowed if the FAP case actually paid for heat; otherwise, actual utility costs were allowed to be submitted. BEM 554.

Claimant was notified on October 1, 2014 that her FAP benefit case would be decreased, because there was no evidence in the file that claimant actually paid for utilities. Claimant did not contact the Department at this time to report a change.

On October 30, 2014, claimant contacted the Department and notified them that she did indeed pay utilities. Verification was submitted a few days later. Because this was new information, not previously submitted to the Department, this information must be considered a change to claimant's case.

Per BAM 220, changes that would result in a benefit increase take effect on the first allotment that would occur 10 days after the change was reported. Claimant reported the change on October 30, 2014. The first benefit allotment occuring 10 days after the change was reported would be the December allotment. Claimant's benefits were indeed raised for December 2014 onward.

As such, the undersigned holds that the Department acted correctly, and was within policy when it changed claimant's benefits effective December, 2014.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

□ acted in accordance with Department policy when it did not raise claimant's FAP benefits until December, 2014.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

X AFFIRMED.

Robert Chavez

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 1/15/2015

Date Mailed: 1/15/2015

RJC / tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

