# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:14-016489Issue No.:4009Case No.:Issue CaseHearing Date:January 21, 2015County:Midland

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

# HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 21, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager and Eligibility Specialist

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit programs?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 27, 2014, Claimant filed an application for SDA benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On November 14, 2014, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for SDA.
- 3. On November 17, 2014, the Department sent Claimant notice that her application for SDA had been denied.
- 4. On November 21, 2014, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. Claimant is a 45 year old woman whose birthday is Claimant is 5'5" tall and weighs 248 lbs.
- 6. Claimant does not have an alcohol, nicotine or drug problem.

- 7. Claimant has a driver's license and is able to drive.
- 8. Claimant has a college education.
- 9. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in 2011.
- 10. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of sickle cell anemia, type II diabetes, neuropathy, obstructive sleep apnea, osteoarthritis and insomnia.
- 11. Claimant's impairments have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for a period of twelve months or longer.
- 12. Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning her impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflect an individual who is so impaired as to be incapable of engaging in any substantial gainful activity on a regular and continuing basis.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Current legislative amendments to the Act delineate eligibility criteria as implemented by department policy set forth in program manuals. 2004 PA 344, Sec. 604, establishes the State Disability Assistance program. It reads in part:

Sec. 604 (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempt from the Supplemental Security Income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

(b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days.

Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Specifically, this Act provides minimal cash assistance to individuals with some type of severe, temporary disability which prevents him or her from engaging in substantial gainful work activity for at least ninety (90) days.

"Disability" is:

... the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905. [SDA = 90 day duration].

[As Judge] We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

Claimant's treating physician completed a Medical Report on behalf of the Department in November, 2014. Claimant is diagnosed with type II diabetes mellitus, severe peripheral neuropathy, obstructive sleep apnea, sickle cell trait and osteoarthritis to the right knee. Claimant utilizes a cane and walker due to her antalgic gait and has decreased sensation in both legs. The physician indicated Claimant is limited to standing or walking less than 2 hours in an 8-hour workday, is unable to use foot or leg controls, and unable to sit 6 hours in an 8-hour workday. Claimant is also limited in comprehension, memory, sustained concentration, following simple directions, reading, writing and social interaction. The physician opined Claimant is unable to meet her own needs in the home and her condition is deteriorating.

The credible testimony and medical records submitted at hearing verify Claimant was legally disabled for ninety (90) days. Moreover, Claimant's treating physician opined that Claimant is unable to meet her own needs in the home and her condition is deteriorating. Because Claimant's treating physician's opinion is well supported by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques, it has controlling weight. 20 CFR 404.1527(d)(2). As such, the Department's denial of SDA pursuant to Claimant's October 27, 2014, SDA application cannot be upheld.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for SDA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The Department shall process Claimant's October 27, 2014, SDA application, and shall award her all the benefits she may be entitled to receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The Department shall review Claimant's medical condition for improvement in April, 2015, unless her Social Security Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The Department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review, in particular from her primary care physician, podiatrist and optometrist.

Di d.

Vicki Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Interim Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 1/21/2015

Date Mailed: 1/21/2015

VLA/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

