STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-012737 Issue No.: 2002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 11, 2014 County: Wayne (57-Conner)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 11, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for the Medical Assistance (MA) Healthy Michigan Program (HMP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA benefits.
- 2. On August 28, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a health care coverage determination notice informing Claimant that it intended to deny his MA application effective October 1, 2014.
- 3. On September 16, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the denial of his MA application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

At the hearing, the Department presented a health care coverage determination notice denying Claimant's MA application because Claimant was not under the age of 21, pregnant, or the caretaker of a minor child in his home. In addition, Claimant was not over 65, blind, or disabled.

On the same determination notice, the Department listed Claimant's annual income as \$22,704.00.

Claimant provided the Department with one check stub covering the August 1, 2014, through August 15, 2014, time period. The check stub document was submitted by Claimant after the Department had issued its health care coverage determination notice.

Although the check stub submitted by Claimant shows an annual income that is far less than that cited by the Department, it was not submitted in a timely manner. BAM 130 (July 2014).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

-	nt policy when it denied Claimant's MA
 application. did not act in accordance with Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing the policy when it . 	nt policy when it . hat it acted in accordance with Department
DECISION AND ORDER	
Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
AFFIRMED. REVERSED. AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/26/2014

Date Mailed: 12/26/2014

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

