

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-8500
Issue No.: 2004
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: December 12, 2013
County: Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 12, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included [REDACTED] as Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included [REDACTED], Medical Contact Worker.

ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS mailed notice of Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application disposition.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED]/13, Claimant submitted an Assistance Application to DHS requesting MA benefits, including retroactive MA benefits from 12/2012.
2. Claimant's application listed an authorized representative (AR) who was also Claimant's AHR.
3. On [REDACTED]/13, DHS denied Claimant's MA benefit application based on a determination that Claimant was not disabled.

4. DHS failed to send a notice of the application denial to Claimant's AR/AHR.
5. On [REDACTED]/13, Claimant's AR/AHR requested a hearing to dispute the failure by DHS to process Claimant's MA benefit application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) and Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to dispute the DHS failure to process Claimant's MA application dated [REDACTED]/12. DHS responded that Claimant's application was properly denied. DHS presented documentation verifying that written notice of the denial was mailed to Claimant on [REDACTED]/13. It was not disputed that Claimant's MA benefit application listed that she had an authorized representative.

An authorized representative (AR) is a person who applies for assistance on behalf of the client and/or otherwise acts on his behalf (for example, to obtain FAP benefits for the group). BAM 110 (7/2010), p. 7. The AR assumes all the responsibilities of a client. *Id.*, p. 8.

A DHS-1150 (Application Eligibility Notice) or DHS-1605 (Notice of Case Action) is used to notify a client of an application approval or denial. BAM 220 (1/2011), p. 16. Because an AR acts on behalf of clients, the AR is entitled to receive application notices.


Claimant's AR/AHR credibly testified that written notice of Claimant's application disposition was not received. DHS failed to present evidence that written notice of the denial was mailed to the AR/AHR. Based on the presented evidence, it is found that DHS failed to mail Claimant's AR/AHR written notice of the MA application denial.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly processed Claimant's application for MA benefits. It is ordered that DHS perform the following actions:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's application dated [REDACTED]/13 requesting MA benefits; and
- (2) initiate processing of Claimant's application subject to the finding that DHS failed to provide Claimant's AR notice of the application disposition.

The actions taken by DHS are **REVERSED**.


Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 1/2/2014

Date Mailed: 1/2/2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc:



