

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-8066  
Issue No.: 2004  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: January 15, 2014  
County: Wayne DHS (19)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 15, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included [REDACTED] as Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included Dorothy Courtney, Specialist.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly failed to process Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility based on disability.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED]/13, Claimant applied for MA benefits, including retroactive MA benefits from 2/2013.
2. Claimant's application alleged a claim of disability.
3. As of the date of hearing, DHS had not processed Claimant's MA benefit application.
4. On [REDACTED]/13, Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to compel DHS to complete the processing of Claimant's application.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).


Prior to a substantive analysis of Claimant's AHR's hearing request, it should be noted that the request noted special arrangements in order to participate in the hearing; specifically, a three-way (i.e. appearance by telephone) hearing was requested. Claimant's AHR's request was granted and the hearing was conducted accordingly.

DHS has certain timeframes in which applications should be processed; the timeframes are referred to as standards of promptness. The standard of promptness for processing MA applications when disability is an eligibility factor is 90 days. BAM 115 (1/2013), p. 13.

In the present case, it was not disputed that Claimant submitted an MA application to DHS on [REDACTED]/12. It was not disputed that Claimant's MA eligibility was based on a claim of disability. During the hearing, DHS conceded that Claimant's application is not yet processed- approximately 20 months after the application was submitted. It is found that DHS failed to comply with the standard of promptness.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly failed to process Claimant's application for MA benefits. It is ordered that DHS continue the processing of Claimant's MA application in accordance with a 90 standard of promptness. The actions taken by DHS are **REVERSED**.



Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 24, 2014

Date Mailed: January 24, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/tlf

cc:

