



3. On September 20, 2013, the AHR filed a request for hearing contending that the Department had failed to process Claimant's MA eligibility for September 2009 through December 2009 in accordance with the ALJ's December 4, 2013, Hearing Decision.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, at the hearing, the Department alleged that it had complied with the December 4, 2012, ALJ Hearing Decision. The Department testified that verification checklists (VCLs) had been sent to Claimant and the AHR, nothing was returned, and, consequently, the Department had denied Claimant's MA eligibility for September 2009 through December 2009.

The AHR denied receiving any VCL or Notice of Case Action informing it that Claimant had been denied MA eligibility for September 2009 through December 2009. While there was evidence presented at the hearing that the Department had begun processing Claimant's eligibility in accordance with the Hearing Decision, no VCL or Notice of Case Action relating to the period at issue was included in the evidence presented to show that the Department completed processing eligibility. While an Administrative Hearing Order Certification signed on March 5, 2013, by the specialist and supervisor indicates that a package was submitted by the AHR in response to the VCL and a notice of case action was issued, it does not indicate whether the verifications were adequate, whether eligibility was denied or approved, or, if denied, the basis for the denial.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed the December 4, 2013, ALJ Hearing Decision.


### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS

HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reprocess Claimant's MA eligibility for September 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009;
2. Provide Claimant with MA coverage he is eligible to receive, if any, for September 2009 through December 2009; and
3. Notify Claimant and his AHR in writing of its decision.



**Alice C. Elkin**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 17, 2013

Date Mailed: December 17, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

2014-757/ACE

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/pf

cc:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]