

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-5963  
Issue No(s): 2002  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: December 18, 2013  
County: Kent

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Darryl T. Johnson

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor [REDACTED], and Eligibility Specialist [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Medicaid (MA) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On August 13, 2013, a Redetermination packet was mailed to Claimant for her to continue the Medicaid benefits for her three adopted daughters.
2. The Claimant's completed Redetermination packet was not received by the Department by the September 3, 2013 deadline.
3. On September 19, 2013, a Notice of Case Action was mailed to Claimant, informing her that the Medicaid benefits would be terminated effective October 1, 2013.
4. On October 3, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The Claimant testified that she has been renewing her daughters' Medicaid every year since she adopted the girls nine years ago. She said that she took the redetermination packet into the Department and dropped it in a mail box there. She had included the completed form and a copy of both sides of her driver's license. The Department's witness, on the other hand, testified that the Redetermination form is pre-printed with bar code that will link the documents with the correct file when it is scanned in to be stored electronically.

There is an irreconcilable conflict in the testimony. If the Claimant is to be believed, she submitted the necessary documentation just as she has done for the past nine years. If the Department is to be believed, the documentation was not received. There was nothing discernible from the witnesses' demeanor that suggests either of them was lying. The conclusion for purposes of this hearing is that the Claimant submitted the documentation on time, consistent with her past practice.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant was not eligible for Retro-MA according to departmental policy.

Accordingly, the department's action is **REVERSED**, and this case is returned to the local office to determine whether Claimant met all of the other financial and non-financial eligibility factors necessary to qualify for Retro-MA.

It is SO ORDERED.



**Darryl T. Johnson**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 23, 2013

Date Mailed: December 23, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

cc:

